

## Bibliographie Juin 2008

<b>Affymetrix</b> .....	<b>5</b>
An improved physico-chemical model of hybridization on high-density oligonucleotide microarrays ..	5
Comparison of Affymetrix GeneChip expression measures .....	5
Evaluation of methods for oligonucleotide array data via quantitative real-time PCR .....	5
Gene expression levels assessed by oligonucleotide microarray analysis and quantitative real-time RT-PCR – how well do they correlate? .....	6
Statistical analysis of high-density oligonucleotide arrays: a multiplicative noise model .....	6
Systematic order-dependent effect in expression values, variance, detection calls and differential expression in Affymetrix GeneChips.....	6
The high-level similarity of some disparate gene expression measures.....	7
<b>Clustering</b> .....	<b>7</b>
A comprehensive evaluation of multicategory classification methods for microarray gene expression cancer diagnosis .....	7
A new molecular breast cancer subclass defined from a large scale real-time quantitative RT-PCR study .....	7
Adaptative quality-based clustering of gene expression profiles .....	8
Analysing microarray data using modular regulation analysis .....	8
Class prediction and discovery using gene microarray and proteomics mass spectroscopy data: curses, caveats, cautions .....	8
Cluster analysis and display of genome-wide expression patterns .....	9
Comparisons and validation of statistical clustering techniques for microarray gene expression data....	9
Determination of minimum sample size and discriminatory expression patterns in microarray data.....	9
Evolutionary algorithms for finding optimal gene sets in microarray prediction .....	10
From co-expression to co-regulation: how many microarray experiments do we need? .....	10
Instance-based concept learning from multiclass DNA microarray data.....	10
Trustworthiness and metrics in visualizing similarity of gene expression.....	11
Using repeated measurements to validate hierarchical gene clusters.....	11
Weighted rank aggregation of cluster validation measures: a Monte Carlo cross-entropy approach ....	11
<b>Comparative studies</b> .....	<b>12</b>
A review of feature selection techniques in bioinformatics .....	12
Advanced significance analysis of microarray data based on weighted resampling: a comparative study and application to gene deletions in Mycobacterium bovis .....	12
Bioinformatics need to adopt statistical thinking.....	12
Comments on selected fundamental aspects of microarray analysis.....	12
Comparison and evaluation of methods for generating differentially expressed gene lists from microarray data.....	13
Evaluation and comparison of gene clustering methods in microarray analysis.....	13
Evaluation of microarray data normalization procedures using spike-in experiments.....	13
Importance of data structure in comparing two dimension reduction methods for classification of microarray gene expression data .....	14
Metabolomics in systems biology.....	14
Microarray data analysis: from disarray to consolidation and consensus .....	15
Overview of Tools for Microarray Data Analysis and Comparison Analysis .....	15
The operons, a criterion to compare the reliability of transcriptome analysis tools: ICA is more reliable than ANOVA, PLS and PCA.....	15
Which missing value imputation method to use in expression profiles: a comparative study and two selection schemes.....	15
<b>Cross-platform reproducibility</b> .....	<b>16</b>
A methodology for global validation of microarray experiments.....	16
A study of inter-lab and inter-platform agreement of DNA microarray data.....	16
Analysis of variance components in gene expression data .....	16
Application of a correlation correction factor in a microarray cross-platform reproducibility study ....	17
Reproducibility of microarray data: a further analysis of microarray quality control (MAQC) data.....	17

Statistical analysis of an RNA titration series evaluates microarray precision and sensitivity on a whole-array basis .....	18
Three microarray platforms: an analysis of their concordance in profiling gene expression.....	18
<b>Differentially expressed gene.....</b>	<b>19</b>
A decomposition model to track gene expression signatures: preview on observer-independent classification of ovarian cancer.....	19
A framework for significance analysis of gene expression data using dimension reduction methods... ..	19
AnovArray: a set of SAS macros for the analysis of variance of gene expression data.....	19
Associating quantitative behavioral traits with gene expression in the brain: searching for diamonds in the hay.....	20
Biologically meaningful expression profiling across species using heterologous hybridization to a cDNA microarray .....	20
Blind Source Separation and the Analysis of Microarray Data .....	20
Correspondence analysis applied to microarray data .....	21
Estimating mutual information using B-spline functions – an improved similarity measure for analysing gene expression data .....	21
Extending the pathway analysis framework with a test for transcriptional variance implicates novel pathway modulation during myogenic differentiation.....	21
Extracting biological information from DNA arrays: an unexpected link between arginine and methionine metabolism in <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> .....	22
GeneANOVA – gene expression analysis of variance.....	22
Gene expression variation between mouse inbred strains.....	22
Independent Component Analysis: A Tutorial.....	22
Independent component analysis reveals new and biologically significant structures in micro array data22	22
Linear modes of gene expression determined by independent component analysis .....	23
Metabolite fingerprinting: detecting biological features by independent component analysis .....	23
Novel approaches to gene expression analysis of active polyarticular juvenile rheumatoid arthritis ....	23
Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response.....	24
Statistical Design and the Analysis of Gene Expression Microarray Data .....	24
Variation in tissue-specific gene expression among natural populations.....	24
<b>FDR .....</b>	<b>25</b>
A comprehensive evaluation of SAM, the SAM R-package and a simple modification to improve its performance .....	25
A comparative review of estimates of the proportion unchanged genes and the false discovery rate ...	25
A note on the false discovery rate and inconsistent comparisons between experiments.....	26
A note on using permutation-based false discovery rate estimates to compare different analysis methods for microarray data .....	26
A simple method for assessing sample sizes in microarray experiments.....	26
Effects of dependence in high-dimensional multiple testing problems.....	26
Empirical Bayes screening of many p-values with applications to microarray studies .....	27
Estimating p-values in small microarray experiments .....	27
Quick calculation for sample size while controlling false discovery rate with application to microarray analysis .....	28
<b>Gene Ontology.....</b>	<b>28</b>
Classification of microarray data using gene networks.....	28
Enrichment or depletion of a GO category within a class of genes: which test? .....	28
Identification of differentially expressed gene categories in microarray studies using nonparametric multivariate analysis .....	28
Ontological analysis of gene expression data: current tools, limitations, and open problems .....	29
<b>Gene-set analysis.....</b>	<b>29</b>
An empirical Bayes approach to inferring large-scale gene association networks .....	29
Analyzing gene expression data in terms of gene sets: methodological issues.....	29
Comparative evaluation of gene-set analysis methods.....	30
Integration of transcriptomics and metabolomics for understanding of global responses to nutritional stresses in <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> .....	30
Pathway level analysis of gene expression using singular value decomposition.....	30

<b>Meta-analysis .....</b>	<b>31</b>
A comparison of meta-analysis methods for detecting differentially expressed genes in microarray experiments .....	31
Bayesian meta-analysis models for microarray data: a comparative study .....	31
Can subtle changes in gene expression be consistently detected with different microarray platforms? .....	32
Coexpression Analysis of Human Genes Across Many Microarray Data Sets .....	32
Combining Affymetrix microarray results .....	32
Joint analysis of two microarray gene-expression data sets to select lung adenocarcinoma marker genes .....	33
Merging two gene-expression studies via cross-platform normalization .....	33
Variation in tissue-specific gene expression among natural populations .....	33
<b>Nonparametric tests .....</b>	<b>34</b>
Nonparametric tests for differential gene expression and interaction effects in multi-factorial microarray experiments .....	34
Ranking analysis of F-statistics for microarray data .....	34
The Baumgartner-Weiß-Schindler test for the detection of differentially expressed genes in replicated microarray experiments .....	35
<b>Normalization .....</b>	<b>35</b>
An adaptive method for cDNA microarray normalization .....	35
Can Zipf's law be adapted to normalize microarrays? .....	35
Making sense of microarray data distributions .....	36
Normalization of single-channel DNA array data by principal component analysis .....	36
Reuse of imputed data in microarray analysis increases imputation efficiency .....	36
Selection and validation of normalization methods for c-DNA microarrays using within-array replications .....	36
Three-parameter lognormal distribution ubiquitously found in cDNA microarray data and its application to parametric data treatment .....	37
Using Generalized Procrustes Analysis (GPA) for normalization of cDNA microarray data .....	37
<b>Pooling mRNA .....</b>	<b>38</b>
Biases induced by pooling samples in microarray experiments .....	38
Effect of pooling samples on the efficiency of comparative studies using microarrays .....	38
Pooling mRNA in microarray experiments and its effect on power .....	38
Statistical implications of pooling RNA samples for microarray experiments .....	39
<b>Quality control of microarrays .....</b>	<b>39</b>
A Bayesian missing value estimation method for gene expression profile data .....	39
A comparison of background correction methods for two-colour microarrays .....	39
A novel approach for increasing sensitivity and correcting saturation artifacts of radioactively labeled cDNA arrays .....	40
Combining signals from spotted cDNA microarrays obtained at different scanning intensities .....	40
Comparing transformation methods for DNA microarray data .....	40
Correcting for gene-specific dye bias in DNA microarrays using the method of maximum likelihood .....	40
Gaussian mixture clustering and imputation of microarray data .....	41
Microarray image analysis: background estimation using quantile and morphological filters .....	41
Missing-value estimation using linear and non-linear regression with Bayesian gene selection .....	41
Quality assessment of microarrays: Visualization of spatial artifacts and quantitation of regional biases .....	42
Quality determination and the repair of poor quality spots in array experiments .....	42
Profound influence of microarray scanner characteristics on gene expression ratios: analysis and procedure for correction .....	42
Scanning microarrays at multiple intensities enhances discovery of differentially expressed genes .....	43
Statistical estimation of gene expression using multiple laser scans of microarrays .....	43
<b>Quantitative real-time PCR .....</b>	<b>43</b>
Model based analysis of real-time PCR data from DNA binding dye protocols .....	43
Simultaneous fitting of real-time PCR data with efficiency of amplification modeled as Gaussian function of target fluorescence .....	44
Statistical analysis of real-time PCR data .....	44
Statistical significance of quantitative PCR .....	44

<b>Time series .....</b>	<b>45</b>
Analyzing time series gene expression data.....	45
Are we overestimating the number of cell-cycling genes? The impact of background models on time-series analysis .....	45
Difference-based clustering of short time-course microarray data with replicates .....	45
Fundamental patterns underlying gene expression profiles: Simplicity from complexity .....	46
Identification of gene expression patterns using planned linear contrasts .....	46
In search of functional association from time-series microarray data based on the change trend and level of gene expression.....	46
Inferring dynamic architecture of cellular networks using time series of gene expression, protein and metabolite data .....	47
Permutation test for periodicity in short time series data .....	47
Robust regression for periodicity detection in non-uniformly sampled time-course gene expression data.....	48
Statistical tests for identifying differentially expressed genes in time-course microarray experiments.....	48
<b>Two-color microarray.....</b>	<b>48</b>
A calibration method for estimating absolute expression levels from microarray data .....	48
An analysis of the use of genomic DNA as a universal reference in two channel DNA microarrays ...	49
An experimental evaluation of a loop versus a reference design for two-channel microarrays .....	49
Analysis of Variance for Gene Expression Microarray Data.....	49
Background correction for cDNA microarray images using the TV+L <sup>1</sup> model.....	50
Calibration and assessment of channel-specific biases in microarray data with extended dynamical range .....	50
Characterizing dye bias in microarray experiments.....	50
Effect of various normalization methods on Applied Biosystems expression array system data .....	51
Evaluation of the gene-specific dye bias in cDNA microarray experiments.....	51
Comment on 'Evaluation of the gene-specific dye bias in cDNA microarray experiments' .....	51
Answer to the comments of K. Dobbin, J. Shih and R. Simon on the paper 'Evaluation of the gene-specific dye-bias in cDNA microarray experiments' .....	52
Expression ratio evaluation in two-colour microarray experiments is significantly improved by correcting image misalignment .....	52
Extended analysis of benchmark datasets for Agilent two-color microarrays .....	52
Methodological study of affine transformations of gene expression data with proposed robust non-parametric multi-dimensional normalization method .....	52
Missing channels in two-colour microarray experiments: Combining single-channel and two-channel data .....	53
Reducing the variability in cDNA microarray image processing by Bayesian inference .....	53
Pre-processing Agilent microarray data.....	53

## Affymetrix

### ***An improved physico-chemical model of hybridization on high-density oligonucleotide microarrays***

Naoaki Ono, Shingo Suzuki, Chikara Furusawa, Tomoharu Agata, Akiko Kashiwagi, Hiroshi Shimizu and Tetsuya Yomo

**Motivation:** High-density DNA microarrays provide useful tools to analyze gene expression comprehensively. However, it is still difficult to obtain accurate expression levels from the observed microarray data because the signal intensity is affected by complicated factors involving probe–target hybridization, such as non-linear behavior of hybridization, non-specific hybridization, and folding of probe and target oligonucleotides. Various methods for microarray data analysis have been proposed to address this problem. In our previous report, we presented a benchmark analysis of probe-target hybridization using artificially synthesized oligonucleotides as targets, in which the effect of non-specific hybridization was negligible. The results showed that the preceding models explained the behavior of probe-target hybridization only within a narrow range of target concentrations. More accurate models are required for quantitative expression analysis.

**Results:** The experiments showed that finiteness of both probe and target molecules should be considered to explain the hybridization behavior. In this article, we present an extension of the Langmuir model that reproduces the experimental results consistently. In this model, we introduced the effects of secondary structure formation, and dissociation of the probe-target duplex during washing after hybridization. The results will provide useful methods for the understanding and analysis of microarray experiments.

### ***Comparison of Affymetrix GeneChip expression measures***

Rafael A. Irizarry, Zhijin Wu and Harris A. Jaffee

**Motivation:** In the Affymetrix GeneChip system, preprocessing occurs before one obtains expression level measurements. Because the number of competing preprocessing methods was large and growing we developed a benchmark to help users identify the best method for their application. A webtool was made available for developers to benchmark their procedures. At the time of writing over 50 methods had been submitted.

**Results:** We benchmarked 31 probe set algorithms using a U95A dataset of spike in controls. Using this dataset, we found that background correction, one of the main steps in preprocessing, has the largest effect on performance. In particular, background correction appears to improve accuracy but, in general, worsen precision. The benchmark results put this balance in perspective. Furthermore, we have improved some of the original benchmark metrics to provide more detailed information regarding precision and accuracy. A handful of methods stand out as providing the best balance using spike-in data with the older U95A array, although different experiments on more current arrays may benchmark differently.

### ***Evaluation of methods for oligonucleotide array data via quantitative real-time PCR***

Li-Xuan Qin, Richard P Beyer, Francesca N Hudson, Nancy J Linford, Daryl E Morris and Kathleen F Kerr

**Background:** There are currently many different methods for processing and summarizing probe level data from Affymetrix oligonucleotide arrays. It is of great interest to validate these methods and identify those that are most effective. There is no single best way to do this validation, and a variety of approaches is needed. Moreover, gene expression data are collected to answer a variety of scientific questions, and the same method may not be best for all questions. Only a handful of validation studies have been done so far, most of which rely on spike-in datasets and focus on the question of detecting differential expression. Here we seek methods that excel at estimating relative expression. We evaluate methods by identifying those that give the strongest linear association between expression measurements by array and the "gold-standard" assay. Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) is generally considered the "gold-standard" assay for measuring gene expression by biologists and is often used to confirm findings from microarray data. Here we use qRT-PCR measurements to validate methods for the components of processing oligo array data: background adjustment, normalization, mismatch adjustment, and probeset summary. An advantage of our approach over spike-in studies is that methods are validated on a real dataset that was collected to address a scientific question.

**Results:** We initially identify three of six popular methods that consistently produced the best agreement between oligo array and RT-PCR data for medium- and high-intensity genes. The three methods are generally known as MAS5, gcRMA, and the dChip mismatch mode. For medium- and high-intensity genes, we identified use of data from mismatch probes (as in MAS5 and dChip mismatch) and a sequence-based method of

background adjustment (as in gcRMA) as the most important factors in methods' performances. However, we found poor reliability for methods using mismatch probes for low-intensity genes, which is in agreement with previous studies. Conclusion: We advocate use of sequence-based background adjustment in lieu of mismatch adjustment to achieve the best results across the intensity spectrum. No method of normalization or probeset summary showed any consistent advantages.

### ***Gene expression levels assessed by oligonucleotide microarray analysis and quantitative real-time RT-PCR – how well do they correlate?***

Peter B Dallas, Nicholas G Gottardo, Martin J Firth, Alex H Beesley, Katrin Hoffmann, Philippa A Terry, Joseph R Freitas, Joanne M Boag, Aaron J Cummings and Ursula R Kees

**Background:** The use of microarray technology to assess gene expression levels is now widespread in biology. The validation of microarray results using independent mRNA quantitation techniques remains a desirable element of any microarray experiment. To facilitate the comparison of microarray expression data between laboratories it is essential that validation methodologies be critically examined. We have assessed the correlation between expression scores obtained for 48 human genes using oligonucleotide microarrays and the expression levels for the same genes measured by quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR).

**Results:** Correlations with qRT-PCR data were obtained using microarray data that were processed using robust multi-array analysis (RMA) and the MAS 5.0 algorithm. Our results indicate that when identical transcripts are targeted by the two methods, correlations between qRT-PCR and microarray data are generally strong ( $r = 0.89$ ). However, we observed poor correlations between qRT-PCR and RMA or MAS 5.0 normalized microarray data for 13% or 16% of genes, respectively.

**Conclusion:** These results highlight the complementarity of oligonucleotide microarray and qRT-PCR technologies for validation of gene expression measurements, while emphasizing the continuing requirement for caution in interpreting gene expression data.

### ***Statistical analysis of high-density oligonucleotide arrays: a multiplicative noise model***

R. Sasik, E. Calvo and J. Corbeil

High-density oligonucleotide arrays (GeneChip, Affymetrix, Santa Clara, CA) have become a standard research tool in many areas of biomedical research. They quantitatively monitor the expression of thousands of genes simultaneously by measuring fluorescence from gene-specific targets or probes. The relationship between signal intensities and transcript abundance as well as normalization issues have been the focus of much recent attention (Hill et al., 2001; Chudin et al., 2002; Naef et al., 2002a). It is desirable that a researcher has the best possible analytical tools to make the most of the information that this powerful technology has to offer. At present there are three analytical methods available: the newly released Affymetrix Microarray Suite 5.0 (AMS) software that accompanies the GeneChip product, the method of Li and Wong (LW; Li and Wong, 2001), and the method of Naef et al. (FN; Naef et al., 2001). The AMS method is tailored for analysis of a single microarray, and can therefore be used with any experimental design. The LW method on the other hand depends on a large number of microarrays in an experiment and cannot be used for an isolated microarray, and the FN method is particular to paired microarrays, such as resulting from an experiment in which each 'treatment' sample has a corresponding 'control' sample. Our focus is on analysis of experiments in which there is a series of samples. In this case only the AMS, LW, and the method described in this paper can be used. The present method is model-based, like the LW method, but assumes multiplicative not additive noise, and employs elimination of statistically significant outliers for improved results. Unlike LW and AMS, we do not assume probe-specific background (measured by the so-called mismatch probes). Rather, we assume uniform background, whose level is estimated using both the mismatch and perfect match probe intensities.

### ***Systematic order-dependent effect in expression values, variance, detection calls and differential expression in Affymetrix GeneChips***

Kathe E. Bjork and Karen Kafadar

**Motivation:** Affymetrix GeneChips are common 30 profiling platforms for quantifying gene expression. Using publicly available datasets of expression profiles from human and mouse experiments, we sought to characterize features of GeneChip data to better compare and evaluate analyses for differential expression, regulation and clustering. We uncovered an unexpected order dependence in expression data that holds across a variety of chips in both human and mouse data.

**Results:** Order dependence among GeneChips affected relative expression measures pre-processed and normalized with the Affymetrix MAS5.0 algorithm and the robust multi-array average summarization method.

The effect strongly influenced detection calls and tests for differential expression and can potentially significantly bias experimental results based on GeneChip profiling.

### ***The high-level similarity of some disparate gene expression measures***

Nandini Raghavan, An M.I.M. De Bondt, Willem Talloen, Dieder Moechars, Hinrich W.H. Göhlmann and Dhammika Amaratunga

Probe-level data from Affymetrix GeneChips can be summarized in many ways to produce probe-set level gene expression measures (GEMs). Disturbingly, the different approaches not only generate quite different measures but they could also yield very different analysis results. Here, we explore the question of how much the analysis results really do differ, first at the gene level, then at the biological process level. We demonstrate that, even though the gene level results may not necessarily match each other particularly well, as long as there is reasonably strong differentiation between the groups in the data, the various GEMs do in fact produce results that are similar to one another at the biological process level. Not only that the results are biologically relevant. As the extent of differentiation drops, the degree of concurrence weakens, although the biological relevance of findings at the biological process level may yet remain.

## **Clustering**

### ***A comprehensive evaluation of multicategory classification methods for microarray gene expression cancer diagnosis***

Alexander Statnikov, Constantin F. Aliferis, Ioannis Tsamardinos, Douglas Hardin and Shawn Levy

**Motivation:** Cancer diagnosis is one of the most important emerging clinical applications of gene expression microarray technology. We are seeking to develop a computer system for powerful and reliable cancer diagnostic model creation based on microarray data. To keep a realistic perspective on clinical applications we focus on multicategory diagnosis. To equip the system with the optimum combination of classifier, gene selection and cross-validation methods, we performed a systematic and comprehensive evaluation of several major algorithms for multicategory classification, several gene selection methods, multiple ensemble classifier methods and two cross-validation designs using 11 datasets spanning 74 diagnostic categories and 41 cancer types and 12 normal tissue types.

**Results:** Multicategory support vector machines (MC-SVMs) are the most effective classifiers in performing accurate cancer diagnosis from gene expression data. The MC-SVM techniques by Crammer and Singer, Weston and Watkins and one-versus-rest were found to be the best methods in this domain. MC-SVMs outperform other popular machine learning algorithms, such as k-nearest neighbors, backpropagation and probabilistic neural networks, often to a remarkable degree. Gene selection techniques can significantly improve the classification performance of both MC-SVMs and other non-SVM learning algorithms. Ensemble classifiers do not generally improve performance of the best non-ensemble models. These results guided the construction of a software system GEMS (Gene Expression Model Selector) that automates high-quality model construction and enforces sound optimization and performance estimation procedures. This is the first such system to be informed by a rigorous comparative analysis of the available algorithms and datasets.

### ***A new molecular breast cancer subclass defined from a large scale real-time quantitative RT-PCR study***

Maïa Chanrion, H el ene Fontaine, Carmen Rodriguez, Vincent Negre, Fr ed eric Bibeau, Charles Theillet, Alain H enaut and Jean-Marie Darbon

**Background:** Current histo-pathological prognostic factors are not very helpful in predicting the clinical outcome of breast cancer due to the disease's heterogeneity. Molecular profiling using a large panel of genes could help to classify breast tumours and to define signatures which are predictive of their clinical behaviour.

**Methods:** To this aim, quantitative RT-PCR amplification was used to study the RNA expression levels of 47 genes in 199 primary breast tumours and 6 normal breast tissues. Genes were selected on the basis of their potential implication in hormonal sensitivity of breast tumours. Normalized RT-PCR data were analysed in an unsupervised manner by pairwise hierarchical clustering, and the statistical relevance of the defined subclasses was assessed by Chi2 analysis. The robustness of the selected subgroups was evaluated by classifying an external and independent set of tumours using these Chi2-defined molecular signatures.

**Results:** Hierarchical clustering of gene expression data allowed us to define a series of tumour subgroups that were either reminiscent of previously reported classifications, or represented putative new subtypes. The Chi2

analysis of these subgroups allowed us to define specific molecular signatures for some of them whose reliability was further demonstrated by using the validation data set. A new breast cancer subclass, called subgroup 7, that we defined in that way, was particularly interesting as it gathered tumours with specific bioclinical features including a low rate of recurrence during a 5 year follow-up.

**Conclusion:** The analysis of the expression of 47 genes in 199 primary breast tumours allowed classifying them into a series of molecular subgroups. The subgroup 7, which has been highlighted by our study, was remarkable as it gathered tumours with specific bioclinical features including a low rate of recurrence. Although this finding should be confirmed by using a larger tumour cohort, it suggests that gene expression profiling using a minimal set of genes may allow the discovery of new subclasses of breast cancer that are characterized by specific molecular signatures and exhibit specific bioclinical features.

### ***Adaptive quality-based clustering of gene expression profiles***

Frank De Smet, Janick Mathys, Kathleen Marchal, Gert Thijs, Bart De Moor and Yves Moreau

**Motivation:** Microarray experiments generate a considerable amount of data, which analyzed properly help us gain a huge amount of biologically relevant information about the global cellular behaviour. Clustering (grouping genes with similar expression profiles) is one of the first steps in data analysis of high-throughput expression measurements. A number of clustering algorithms have proved useful to make sense of such data. These classical algorithms, though useful, suffer from several drawbacks (e.g. they require the predefinition of arbitrary parameters like the number of clusters; they force every gene into a cluster despite a low correlation with other cluster members). In the following we describe a novel adaptive quality-based clustering algorithm that tackles some of these drawbacks.

**Results:** We propose a heuristic iterative two-step algorithm: First, we find in the high-dimensional representation of the data a sphere where the 'density' of expression profiles is locally maximal (based on a preliminary estimate of the radius of the cluster-quality-based approach). In a second step, we derive an optimal radius of the cluster (adaptive approach) so that only the significantly co-expressed genes are included in the cluster. This estimation is achieved by fitting a model to the data using an EM-algorithm. By inferring the radius from the data itself, the biologist is freed from finding an optimal value for this radius by trial-and-error. The computational complexity of this method is approximately linear in the number of gene expression profiles in the data set. Finally, our method is successfully validated using existing data sets.

### ***Analysing microarray data using modular regulation analysis***

R. Keira Curtis and Martin D. Brand

**Motivation:** Microarray experiments measure complex changes in the abundance of many mRNAs under different conditions. Current analysis methods cannot distinguish between direct and indirect effects on expression, or calculate the relative importance of mRNAs in effecting responses.

**Results:** Application of modular regulation analysis to microarray data reveals and quantifies which mRNA changes are important for cellular responses. The mRNAs are clustered, and then we calculate how perturbations alter each cluster and how strongly those clusters affect an output response. The product of these values quantifies how an input changes a response through each cluster.

Two published datasets are analysed. Two mRNA clusters transmit most of the response of yeast doubling time to galactose; one contains mainly galactose metabolic genes, and the other a regulatory gene. Analysis of the response of yeast relative fitness to 2-deoxy-d-glucose reveals that control is distributed between several mRNA clusters, but experimental error limits statistical significance.

### ***Class prediction and discovery using gene microarray and proteomics mass spectroscopy data: curses, caveats, cautions***

R. L. Somorjai, B. Dolenko and R. Baumgartner

**Motivation:** Two practical realities constrain the analysis of microarray data, mass spectra from proteomics, and biomedical infrared or magnetic resonance spectra. One is the 'curse of dimensionality': the number of features characterizing these data is in the thousands or tens of thousands. The other is the 'curse of dataset sparsity': the number of samples is limited. The consequences of these two curses are far-reaching when such data are used to classify the presence or absence of disease.

**Results:** Using very simple classifiers, we show for several publicly available microarray and proteomics datasets how these curses influence classification outcomes. In particular, even if the sample per feature ratio is



increased to the recommended 5–10 by feature extraction/reduction methods, dataset sparsity can render any classification result statistically suspect. In addition, several ‘optimal’ feature sets are typically identifiable for sparse datasets, all producing perfect classification results, both for the training and independent validation sets. This non-uniqueness leads to interpretational difficulties and casts doubt on the biological relevance of any of these ‘optimal’ feature sets. We suggest an approach to assess the relative quality of apparently equally good classifiers.

### ***Cluster analysis and display of genome-wide expression patterns***

Michael B. Eisen, Paul T. Spellman, Patrick O. Brown, and David Botstein

A system of cluster analysis for genome-wide expression data from DNA microarray hybridization is described that uses standard statistical algorithms to arrange genes according to similarity in pattern of gene expression. The output is displayed graphically, conveying the clustering and the underlying expression data simultaneously in a form intuitive for biologists. We have found in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* that clustering gene expression data groups together efficiently genes of known similar function, and we find a similar tendency in human data. Thus patterns seen in genome-wide expression experiments can be interpreted as indications of the status of cellular processes. Also, coexpression of genes of known function with poorly characterized or novel genes may provide a simple means of gaining leads to the functions of many genes for which information is not available currently.

### ***Comparisons and validation of statistical clustering techniques for microarray gene expression data***

Susmita Datta and Somnath Datta

**Motivation:** With the advent of microarray chip technology, large data sets are emerging containing the simultaneous expression levels of thousands of genes at various time points during a biological process. Biologists are attempting to group genes based on the temporal pattern of their expression levels. While the use of hierarchical clustering (UPGMA) with correlation ‘distance’ has been the most common in the microarray studies, there are many more choices of clustering algorithms in pattern recognition and statistics literature. At the moment there do not seem to be any clear-cut guidelines regarding the choice of a clustering algorithm to be used for grouping genes based on their expression profiles.

**Results:** In this paper, we consider six clustering algorithms (of various flavors!) and evaluate their performances on a well-known publicly available microarray data set on sporulation of budding yeast and on two simulated data sets. Among other things, we formulate three reasonable validation strategies that can be used with any clustering algorithm when temporal observations or replications are present. We evaluate each of these six clustering methods with these validation measures. While the ‘best’ method is dependent on the exact validation strategy and the number of clusters to be used, overall *Diana* appears to be a solid performer. Interestingly, the performance of correlation-based hierarchical clustering and model-based clustering (another method that has been advocated by a number of researchers) appear to be on opposite extremes, depending on what validation measure one employs. Next it is shown that the group means produced by *Diana* are the closest and those produced by UPGMA are the farthest from a model profile based on a set of hand-picked genes.

### ***Determination of minimum sample size and discriminatory expression patterns in microarray data***

Daehee Hwang, William A. Schmitt, George Stephanopoulos and Gregory Stephanopoulos

**Motivation:** Transcriptional profiling using microarrays can reveal important information about cellular and tissue expression phenotypes, but these measurements are costly and time consuming. Additionally, tissue sample availability poses further constraints on the number of arrays that can be analyzed in connection with a particular disease or state of interest. It is therefore important to provide a method for the determination of the minimum number of microarrays required to separate, with statistical reliability, distinct disease states or other physiological differences.

**Results:** Power analysis was applied to estimate the minimum sample size required for two-class and multi-class discrimination. The power analysis algorithm calculates the appropriate sample size for discrimination of phenotypic subtypes in a reduced dimensional space obtained by Fisher discriminant analysis (FDA). This approach was tested by applying the algorithm to existing data sets for estimation of the minimum sample size required for drawing certain conclusions on multi-class distinction with statistical reliability. It was confirmed that when the minimum number of samples estimated from power analysis is used, group means in the FDA discrimination space are statistically different.

***Evolutionary algorithms for finding optimal gene sets in microarray prediction***

J. M. Deutsch

**Motivation:** Microarray data has been shown recently to be efficacious in distinguishing closely related cell types that often appear in different forms of cancer, but is not yet practical clinically. However, the data might be used to construct a minimal set of marker genes that could then be used clinically by making antibody assays to diagnose a specific type of cancer. Here a replication algorithm is used for this purpose. It evolves an ensemble of predictors, all using different combinations of genes to generate a set of optimal predictors.

**Results:** We apply this method to the leukemia data of the Whitehead/MIT group that attempts to differentially diagnose two kinds of leukemia, and also to data of Khan et al. to distinguish four different kinds of childhood cancers. In the latter case we were able to reduce the number of genes needed from 96 to less than 15, while at the same time being able to classify all of their test data perfectly. We also apply this method to two other cases, Diffuse large B-cell lymphoma data (Shipp et al., 2002), and data of Ramaswamy et al. on multiclass diagnosis of 14 common tumor types.

***From co-expression to co-regulation: how many microarray experiments do we need?***

Ka Yee Yeung, Mario Medvedovic and Roger E Bumgarner

**Background:** Cluster analysis is often used to infer regulatory modules or biological function by associating unknown genes with other genes that have similar expression patterns and known regulatory elements or functions. However, clustering results may not have any biological relevance.

**Results:** We applied various clustering algorithms to microarray datasets with different sizes, and we evaluated the clustering results by determining the fraction of gene pairs from the same clusters that share at least one known common transcription factor. We used both yeast transcription factor databases (SCPD, YPD) and chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) data to evaluate our clustering results. We showed that the ability to identify co-regulated genes from clustering results is strongly dependent on the number of microarray experiments used in cluster analysis and the accuracy of these associations plateaus at between 50 and 100 experiments on yeast data. Moreover, the model-based clustering algorithm MCLUST consistently outperforms more traditional methods in accurately assigning co-regulated genes to the same clusters on standardized data.

**Conclusions:** Our results are consistent with respect to independent evaluation criteria that strengthen our confidence in our results. However, when one compares ChIP data to YPD, the false-negative rate is approximately 80% using the recommended p-value of 0.001. In addition, we showed that even with large numbers of experiments, the false-positive rate may exceed the true-positive rate. In particular, even when all experiments are included, the best results produce clusters with only a 28% true-positive rate using known gene transcription factor interactions.

***Instance-based concept learning from multiclass DNA microarray data***

Daniel Berrar, Ian Bradbury and Werner Dubitzky

**Background:** Various statistical and machine learning methods have been successfully applied to the classification of DNA microarray data. Simple instance-based classifiers such as nearest neighbor (NN) approaches perform remarkably well in comparison to more complex models, and are currently experiencing a renaissance in the analysis of data sets from biology and biotechnology. While binary classification of microarray data has been extensively investigated, studies involving multiclass data are rare. The question remains open whether there exists a significant difference in performance between NN approaches and more complex multiclass methods. Comparative studies in this field commonly assess different models based on their classification accuracy only; however, this approach lacks the rigor needed to draw reliable conclusions and is inadequate for testing the null hypothesis of equal performance. Comparing novel classification models to existing approaches requires focusing on the significance of differences in performance.

**Results:** We investigated the performance of instance-based classifiers, including a NN classifier able to assign a degree of class membership to each sample. This model alleviates a major problem of conventional instance-based learners, namely the lack of confidence values for predictions. The model translates the distances to the nearest neighbors into 'confidence scores'; the higher the confidence score, the closer is the considered instance to a predefined class. We applied the models to three real gene expression data sets and compared them with state-of-the-art methods for classifying microarray data of multiple classes, assessing performance using a statistical significance test that took into account the data resampling strategy. Simple NN classifiers performed as well as, or significantly better than, their more intricate competitors.

**Conclusion:** Given its highly intuitive underlying principles – simplicity, ease-of-use, and robustness – the k-NN classifier complemented by a suitable distance-weighting regime constitutes an excellent alternative to more complex models for multiclass microarray data sets. Instance-based classifiers using weighted distances are not limited to microarray data sets, but are likely to perform competitively in classifications of high-dimensional biological data sets such as those generated by high-throughput mass spectrometry.

### ***Trustworthiness and metrics in visualizing similarity of gene expression***

Samuel Kaski, Janne Nikkilä, Merja Oja, Jarkko Venna, Petri Törönen and Eero Castrén

**Background:** Conventionally, the first step in analyzing the large and high-dimensional data sets measured by microarrays is visual exploration. Dendrograms of hierarchical clustering, selforganizing maps (SOMs), and multidimensional scaling have been used to visualize similarity relationships of data samples. We address two central properties of the methods: (i) Are the visualizations trustworthy, i.e., if two samples are visualized to be similar, are they really similar? (ii) The metric. The measure of similarity determines the result; we propose using a new learning metrics principle to derive a metric from interrelationships among data sets.

**Results:** The trustworthiness of hierarchical clustering, multidimensional scaling, and the selforganizing map were compared in visualizing similarity relationships among gene expression profiles. The self-organizing map was the best except that hierarchical clustering was the most trustworthy for the most similar profiles. Trustworthiness can be further increased by treating separately those genes for which the visualization is least trustworthy. We then proceed to improve the metric. The distance measure between the expression profiles is adjusted to measure differences relevant to functional classes of the genes. The genes for which the new metric is the most different from the usual correlation metric are listed and visualized with one of the visualization methods, the self-organizing map, computed in the new metric.

**Conclusions:** The conjecture from the methodological results is that the self-organizing map can be recommended to complement the usual hierarchical clustering for visualizing and exploring gene expression data. Discarding the least trustworthy samples and improving the metric still improves it.

### ***Using repeated measurements to validate hierarchical gene clusters***

Laurent Bréhélin, Olivier Gascuel and Olivier Martin

**Motivation:** Hierarchical clustering is a common approach to study protein and gene expression data. This unsupervised technique is used to find clusters of genes or proteins which are expressed in a coordinated manner across a set of conditions. Because of both the biological and technical variability, experimental repetitions are generally performed. In this work, we propose an approach to evaluate the stability of clusters derived from hierarchical clustering by taking repeated measurements into account.

**Results:** The method is based on the bootstrap technique that is used to obtain pseudo-hierarchies of genes from resampled datasets. Based on a fast dynamic programming algorithm, we compare the original hierarchy to the pseudo-hierarchies and assess the stability of the original gene clusters. Then a shuffling procedure can be used to assess the significance of the cluster stabilities. Our approach is illustrated on simulated data and on two microarray datasets. Compared to the standard hierarchical clustering methodology, it allows to point out the dubious and stable clusters, and thus avoids misleading interpretations.

### ***Weighted rank aggregation of cluster validation measures: a Monte Carlo cross-entropy approach***

Vasyl Pihur, Susmita Datta and Somnath Datta

**Motivation:** Biologists often employ clustering techniques in the explorative phase of microarray data analysis to discover relevant biological groupings. Given the availability of numerous clustering algorithms in the machine-learning literature, an user might want to select one that performs the best for his/her data set or application. While various validation measures have been proposed over the years to judge the quality of clusters produced by a given clustering algorithm including their biological relevance, unfortunately, a given clustering algorithm can perform poorly under one validation measure while outperforming many other algorithms under another validation measure. A manual synthesis of results from multiple validation measures is nearly impossible in practice, especially, when a large number of clustering algorithms are to be compared using several measures. An automated and objective way of reconciling the rankings is needed.

**Results:** Using a Monte Carlo cross-entropy algorithm, we successfully combine the ranks of a set of clustering algorithms under consideration via a weighted aggregation that optimizes a distance criterion. The proposed weighted rank aggregation allows for a far more objective and automated assessment of clustering results than a

simple visual inspection. We illustrate our procedure using one simulated as well as three real gene expression data sets from various platforms where we rank a total of eleven clustering algorithms using a combined examination of 10 different validation measures. The aggregate rankings were found for a given number of clusters  $k$  and also for an entire range of  $k$ .

## Comparative studies

### ***A review of feature selection techniques in bioinformatics***

Yvan Saeys, Iñaki Inza and Pedro Larrañaga

Feature selection techniques have become an apparent need in many bioinformatics applications. In addition to the large pool of techniques that have already been developed in the machine learning and data mining fields, specific applications in bioinformatics have led to a wealth of newly proposed techniques. In this article, we make the interested reader aware of the possibilities of feature selection, providing a basic taxonomy of feature selection techniques, and discussing their use, variety and potential in a number of both common as well as upcoming bioinformatics applications.

### ***Advanced significance analysis of microarray data based on weighted resampling: a comparative study and application to gene deletions in *Mycobacterium bovis****

Zoltan Kutalik, Jacqueline Inwald, Steve V. Gordon, R. Glyn Hewinson, Philip Butcher, Jason Hinds, Kwang-Hyun Cho and Olaf Wolkenhauer

**Motivation:** When analyzing microarray data, non-biological variation introduces uncertainty in the analysis and interpretation. In this paper we focus on the validation of significant differences in gene expression levels, or normalized channel intensity levels with respect to different experimental conditions and with replicated measurements. A myriad of methods have been proposed to study differences in gene expression levels and to assign significance values as a measure of confidence. In this paper we compare several methods, including SAM, regularized  $t$ -test, mixture modeling, Wilk's lambda score and variance stabilization. From this comparison we developed a weighted resampling approach and applied it to gene deletions in *Mycobacterium bovis*.

**Results:** We discuss the assumptions, model structure, computational complexity and applicability to microarray data. The results of our study justified the theoretical basis of the weighted resampling approach, which clearly outperforms the others.

### ***Bioinformatics need to adopt statistical thinking***

Martin Vingron

The lab biologist and theoretician need to make a concerted effort to design experiments that can be realised and analysed. Bioinformaticians are predestined for this role because they have learned to bridge the communication barriers and they know the available data. But most of us need to improve the statistical know-how or learn to efficiently interact with statisticians. The consequence of all this is that we need to get back to school and learn more statistics. Not so much with the goal of mastering all of statistics but with the goal of sufficiently educating ourselves in order to pull in statisticians.

### ***Comments on selected fundamental aspects of microarray analysis***

Alessandra Riva, Anne-Sophie Carpentier, Bruno Torrèsani, Alain Hénaut

Microarrays are becoming a ubiquitous tool of research in life sciences. However, the working principles of microarray-based methodologies are often misunderstood or apparently ignored by the researchers who actually perform and interpret experiments. This in turn seems to lead to a common over-expectation regarding the explanatory and/or knowledge-generating power of microarray analyses.

In this note we intend to explain basic principles of five (5) major groups of analytical techniques used in studies of microarray data and their interpretation: the principal component analysis (PCA), the independent component analysis (ICA), the  $t$ -test, the analysis of variance (ANOVA), and self organizing maps (SOM). We discuss answers to selected practical questions related to the analysis of microarray data. We also take a closer look at the experimental setup and the rules, which have to be observed in order to exploit microarrays efficiently. Finally, we discuss in detail the scope and limitations of microarray-based methods. We emphasize the fact that no amount of statistical analysis can compensate for (or replace) a well thought through experimental setup. We conclude that microarrays are indeed useful tools in life sciences but by no means should they be expected to

generate complete answers to complex biological questions. We argue that even well posed questions, formulated within a microarray-specific terminology, cannot be completely answered with the use of microarray analyses alone.

### ***Comparison and evaluation of methods for generating differentially expressed gene lists from microarray data***

Ian B Jeffery, Desmond G Higgins and Aedin C Culhane

**Background:** Numerous feature selection methods have been applied to the identification of differentially expressed genes in microarray data. These include simple fold change, classical t-statistic and moderated t-statistics. Even though these methods return gene lists that are often dissimilar, few direct comparisons of these exist. We present an empirical study in which we compare some of the most commonly used feature selection methods. We apply these to 9 publicly available datasets, and compare, both the gene lists produced and how these perform in class prediction of test datasets.

**Results:** In this study, we compared the efficiency of the feature selection methods; significance analysis of microarrays (SAM), analysis of variance (ANOVA), empirical bayes t-statistic, template matching, maxT, between group analysis (BGA), Area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve, the Welch t-statistic, fold change, rank products, and sets of randomly selected genes. In each case these methods were applied to 9 different binary (two class) microarray datasets. Firstly we found little agreement in gene lists produced by the different methods. Only 8 to 21% of genes were in common across all 10 feature selection methods. Secondly, we evaluated the class prediction efficiency of each gene list in training and test cross-validation using four supervised classifiers.

**Conclusion:** We report that the choice of feature selection method, the number of genes in the gene list, the number of cases (samples) and the noise in the dataset, substantially influence classification success. Recommendations are made for choice of feature selection. Area under a ROC curve performed well with datasets that had low levels of noise and large sample size. Rank products performs well when datasets had low numbers of samples or high levels of noise. The Empirical bayes t-statistic performed well across a range of sample sizes.

### ***Evaluation and comparison of gene clustering methods in microarray analysis***

Anbupalam Thalamuthu, Indranil Mukhopadhyay, Xiaojing Zheng and George C. Tseng

**Motivation:** Microarray technology has been widely applied in biological and clinical studies for simultaneous monitoring of gene expression in thousands of genes. Gene clustering analysis is found useful for discovering groups of correlated genes potentially co-regulated or associated to the disease or conditions under investigation. Many clustering methods including hierarchical clustering, K-means, PAM, SOM, mixture model-based clustering and tight clustering have been widely used in the literature. Yet no comprehensive comparative study has been performed to evaluate the effectiveness of these methods.

**Results:** In this paper, six gene clustering methods are evaluated by simulated data from a hierarchical log-normal model with various degrees of perturbation as well as four real datasets. A weighted Rand index is proposed for measuring similarity of two clustering results with possible scattered genes (i.e. a set of noise genes not being clustered). Performance of the methods in the real data is assessed by a predictive accuracy analysis through verified gene annotations. Our results show that tight clustering and model-based clustering consistently outperform other clustering methods both in simulated and real data while hierarchical clustering and SOM perform among the worst. Our analysis provides deep insight to the complicated gene clustering problem of expression profile and serves as a practical guideline for routine microarray cluster analysis.

### ***Evaluation of microarray data normalization procedures using spike-in experiments***

Patrik Rydén, Henrik Andersson, Mattias Landfors, Linda Näslund, Blanka Hartmanová, Laila Noppa, and Anders Sjöstedt

**Background:** Recently, a large number of methods for the analysis of microarray data have been proposed but there are few comparisons of their relative performances. By using so-called spike-in experiments, it is possible to characterize the analyzed data and thereby enable comparisons of different analysis methods.

**Results:** A spike-in experiment using eight in-house produced arrays was used to evaluate established and novel methods for filtration, background adjustment, scanning, channel adjustment, and censoring. The S-plus package EDMA, a stand-alone tool providing characterization of analyzed cDNA-microarray data obtained from spike-in experiments, was developed and used to evaluate 252 normalization methods. For all analyses, the sensitivities at

low false positive rates were observed together with estimates of the overall bias and the standard deviation. In general, there was a trade-off between the ability of the analyses to identify differentially expressed genes (i.e. the analyses' sensitivities) and their ability to provide unbiased estimators of the desired ratios. Virtually all analysis underestimated the magnitude of the regulations; often less than 50% of the true regulations were observed. Moreover, the bias depended on the underlying mRNA-concentration; low concentration resulted in high bias. Many of the analyses had relatively low sensitivities, but analyses that used either the constrained model (i.e. a procedure that combines data from several scans) or partial filtration (a novel method for treating data from so-called not-found spots) had with few exceptions high sensitivities. These methods gave considerable higher sensitivities than some commonly used analysis methods.

**Conclusion:** The use of spike-in experiments is a powerful approach for evaluating microarray preprocessing procedures. Analyzed data are characterized by properties of the observed log-ratios and the analysis' ability to detect differentially expressed genes. If bias is not a major problem; we recommend the use of either the CM-procedure or partial filtration.

### ***Importance of data structure in comparing two dimension reduction methods for classification of microarray gene expression data***

Caroline Truntzer, Catherine Mercier, Jacques Estève, Christian Gautier and Pascal Roy

**Background:** With the advance of microarray technology, several methods for gene classification and prognosis have been already designed. However, under various denominations, some of these methods have similar approaches. This study evaluates the influence of gene expression variance structure on the performance of methods that describe the relationship between gene expression levels and a given phenotype through projection of data onto discriminant axes.

**Results:** We compared Between-Group Analysis and Discriminant Analysis (with prior dimension reduction through Partial Least Squares or Principal Components Analysis). A geometric approach showed that these two methods are strongly related, but differ in the way they handle data structure. Yet, data structure helps understanding the predictive efficiency of these methods. Three main structure situations may be identified. When the clusters of points are clearly split, both methods perform equally well. When the clusters superpose, both methods fail to give interesting predictions. In intermediate situations, the configuration of the clusters of points has to be handled by the projection to improve prediction. For this, we recommend Discriminant Analysis. Besides, an innovative way of simulation generated the three main structures by modelling different partitions of the whole variance into within-group and between-group variances. These simulated datasets were used in complement to some well-known public datasets to investigate the methods behaviour in a large diversity of structure situations. To examine the structure of a dataset before analysis and preselect an a priori appropriate method for its analysis, we proposed a two-graph preliminary visualization tool: plotting patients on the Between- Group Analysis discriminant axis (x-axis) and on the first and the second within-group Principal Components Analysis component (y-axis), respectively.

**Conclusion:** Discriminant Analysis outperformed Between-Group Analysis because it allows for the dataset structure. An a priori knowledge of that structure may guide the choice of the analysis method. Simulated datasets with known properties are valuable to assess and compare the performance of analysis methods, then implementation on real datasets checks and validates the results. Thus, we warn against the use of unchallenging datasets for method comparison, such as the Golub dataset, because their structure is such that any method would be efficient.

### ***Metabolomics in systems biology***

Wolfram Weckwerth

The primary aim of “omic” technologies is the nontargeted identification of all gene products (transcripts, proteins, and metabolites) present in a specific biological sample. By their nature, these technologies reveal unexpected properties of biological systems. A second and more challenging aspect of omic technologies is the refined analysis of quantitative dynamics in biological systems. For metabolomics, gas and liquid chromatography coupled to mass spectrometry are well suited for coping with high sample numbers in reliable measurement times with respect to both technical accuracy and the identification and quantitation of small-molecular-weight metabolites. This potential is a prerequisite for the analysis of dynamic systems. Thus, metabolomics is a key technology for systems biology.

The aim of this review is to (a) provide an in-depth overview about metabolomic technology, (b) explore how metabolomic networks can be connected to the underlying reaction pathway structure, and (c) discuss the need to investigate integrative biochemical networks.

***Microarray data analysis: from disarray to consolidation and consensus***

David B. Allison, Xiangqin Cui, Grier P. Page and Mahyar Sabripour

In just a few years, microarrays have gone from obscurity to being almost ubiquitous in biological research. At the same time, the statistical methodology for microarray analysis has progressed from simple visual assessments of results to a weekly deluge of papers that describe purportedly novel algorithms for analysing changes in gene expression. Although the many procedures that are available might be bewildering to biologists who wish to apply them, statistical geneticists are recognizing commonalities among the different methods. Many are special cases of more general models, and points of consensus are emerging about the general approaches that warrant use and elaboration.

***Overview of Tools for Microarray Data Analysis and Comparison Analysis***

Chodziwadziwa Whiteson Kabudula

Progress in microarray gene expression technology has been complemented by advances in techniques and tools for microarray data analysis. There exist various types of analyses of microarray data and a variety of public tools are available for performing these analyses. Here, we present an overview of three publicly-accessible web-based tools for microarray data analysis; Gene Expression Pattern Analysis Suite (GEPAS), Expression Profiler: Next Generation (EP:NG), and Microarray Data Analysis Web Tool (MIDAW). The discussion particularly focuses on one of the most widely used microarray data analysis techniques known as clustering. Insights are provided on the properties and usefulness of each of the three tools with regard to clustering. For each of the tools, a thorough exploration of the possibilities provided for various clustering techniques is made. In addition, we present a comparison analysis of the performance of the three tools with emphasis on clustering.

***The operons, a criterion to compare the reliability of transcriptome analysis tools: ICA is more reliable than ANOVA, PLS and PCA***

Anne-Sophie Carpentier, Alessandra Riva, Pierre Tisseur, Gilles Didier, Alain Hénaut

The number of statistical tools used to analyze transcriptome data is continuously increasing and no one, definitive method has so far emerged. There is a need for comparison and a number of different approaches has been taken to evaluate the effectiveness of the different statistical tools available for microarray analyses.

In this paper, we describe a simple and efficient protocol to compare the reliability of different statistical tools available for microarray analyses. It exploits the fact that genes within an operon exhibit the same expression patterns. In order to compare the tools, the genes are ranked according to the most relevant criterion for each tool; for each tool we look at the number of different operons represented within the first twenty genes detected. We then look at the size of the interval within which we find the most significant genes belonging to each operon in question. This allows us to define and estimate the sensitivity and accuracy of each statistical tool.

We have compared four statistical tools using *Bacillus subtilis* expression data: the analysis of variance (ANOVA), the principal component analysis (PCA), the independent component analysis (ICA) and the partial least square regression (PLS). Our results show ICA to be the most sensitive and accurate of the tools tested. In this article, we have used the protocol to compare statistical tools applied to the analysis of differential gene expression. However, it can also be applied without modification to compare the statistical tools developed for other types of transcriptome analyses, like the study of gene co-expression.

***Which missing value imputation method to use in expression profiles: a comparative study and two selection schemes***

Guy N Brock, John R Shaffer, Richard E Blakesley, Meredith J Lotz and George C Tseng

**Background:** Gene expression data frequently contain missing values, however, most downstream analyses for microarray experiments require complete data. In the literature many methods have been proposed to estimate missing values via information of the correlation patterns within the gene expression matrix. Each method has its own advantages, but the specific conditions for which each method is preferred remains largely unclear. In this report we describe an extensive evaluation of eight current imputation methods on multiple types of microarray experiments, including time series, multiple exposures, and multiple exposures  $\times$  time series data. We then introduce two complementary selection schemes for determining the most appropriate imputation method for any given data set.

**Results:** We found that the optimal imputation algorithms (LSA, LLS, and BPCA) are all highly competitive with each other, and that no method is uniformly superior in all the data sets we examined. The success of each

method can also depend on the underlying "complexity" of the expression data, where we take complexity to indicate the difficulty in mapping the gene expression matrix to a lower-dimensional subspace. We developed an entropy measure to quantify the complexity of expression matrixes and found that, by incorporating this information, the entropy based selection (EBS) scheme is useful for selecting an appropriate imputation algorithm. We further propose a simulation-based self-training selection (STS) scheme. This technique has been used previously for microarray data imputation, but for different purposes. The scheme selects the optimal or near-optimal method with high accuracy but at an increased computational cost.

**Conclusion:** Our findings provide insight into the problem of which imputation method is optimal for a given data set. Three top-performing methods (LSA, LLS and BPCA) are competitive with each other. Global-based imputation methods (PLS, SVD, BPCA) performed better on microarray data with lower complexity, while neighbour-based methods (KNN, OLS, LSA, LLS) performed better in data with higher complexity. We also found that the EBS and STS schemes serve as complementary and effective tools for selecting the optimal imputation algorithm.

## Cross-platform reproducibility

### *A methodology for global validation of microarray experiments*

Mathieu Miron, Owen Z Woody, Alexandre Marcil, Carl Murie, Robert Sladek and Robert Nadon

**Background:** DNA microarrays are popular tools for measuring gene expression of biological samples. This ever increasing popularity is ensuring that a large number of microarray studies are conducted, many of which with data publicly available for mining by other investigators. Under most circumstances, validation of differential expression of genes is performed on a gene to gene basis. Thus, it is not possible to generalize validation results to the remaining majority of non-validated genes or to evaluate the overall quality of these studies.

**Results:** We present an approach for the global validation of DNA microarray experiments that will allow researchers to evaluate the general quality of their experiment and to extrapolate validation results of a subset of genes to the remaining non-validated genes. We illustrate why the popular strategy of selecting only the most differentially expressed genes for validation generally fails as a global validation strategy and propose random-stratified sampling as a better gene selection method. We also illustrate shortcomings of often-used validation indices such as overlap of significant effects and the correlation coefficient and recommend the concordance correlation coefficient (CCC) as an alternative.

**Conclusion:** We provide recommendations that will enhance validity checks of microarray experiments while minimizing the need to run a large number of labour-intensive individual validation assays.

### *A study of inter-lab and inter-platform agreement of DNA microarray data*

Huixia Wang, Xuming He, Mark Band, Carole Wilson and Lei Liu

As gene expression profile data from DNA microarrays accumulate rapidly, there is a natural need to compare data across labs and platforms. Comparisons of microarray data can be quite challenging due to data complexity and variability. Different labs may adopt different technology platforms. One may ask about the degree of agreement we can expect from different labs and different platforms. To address this question, we conducted a study of inter-lab and inter-platform agreement of microarray data across three platforms and three labs. The statistical measures of consistency and agreement used in this paper are the Pearson correlation, intraclass correlation, kappa coefficients, and a measure of intra-transcript correlation. The three platforms used in the present paper were Affymetrix GeneChip, custom cDNA arrays, and custom oligo arrays. Using the within-platform variability as a benchmark, we found that these technology platforms exhibited an acceptable level of agreement, but the agreement between two technologies within the same lab was greater than that between two labs using the same technology. The consistency of replicates in each experiment varies from lab to lab. When there is high consistency among replicates, different technologies show good agreement within and across labs using the same RNA samples. On the other hand, the lab effect, especially when confounded with the RNA sample effect, plays a bigger role than the platform effect on data agreement.

### *Analysis of variance components in gene expression data*

James J. Chen Robert R. Delongchamp, Chen-An Tsai, Huey-miin Hsueh, Frank Sistare, Karol L. Thompson, Varsha G. Desai and James C. Fuscoe



**Motivation:** A microarray experiment is a multi-step process, and each step is a potential source of variation. There are two major sources of variation: biological variation and technical variation. This study presents a variance-components approach to investigating animal-to-animal, between-array, within-array and day-to-day variations for two data sets. The first data set involved estimation of technical variances for pooled control and pooled treated RNA samples. The variance components included between-array, and two nested within-array variances: between-section (the upper- and lower sections of the array are replicates) and within-section (two adjacent spots of the same gene are printed within each section). The second experiment was conducted on four different weeks. Each week there were reference and test samples with a dye-flip replicate in two hybridization days. The variance components included week-to-week, animal-to-animal and between-array and within-array variances.

**Results:** We applied the linear mixed-effects model to quantify different sources of variation. In the first data set, we found that the between-array variance is greater than the between-section variance, which, in turn, is greater than the within-section variance. In the second data set, for the reference samples, the week-to-week variance is larger than the between-array variance, which, in turn, is slightly larger than the within-array variance. For the test samples, the week-to-week variance has the largest variation. The animal-to-animal variance is slightly larger than the between-array and within-array variances. However, in a gene-by-gene analysis, the animal-to-animal variance is smaller than the between-array variance in four out of five housekeeping genes. In summary, the largest variation observed is the week-to-week effect. Another important source of variability is the animal-to-animal variation. Finally, we describe the use of variance-component estimates to determine optimal numbers of animals, arrays per animal and sections per array in planning microarray experiments.

#### ***Application of a correlation correction factor in a microarray cross-platform reproducibility study***

Kellie J Archer, Catherine I Dumur, G Scott Taylor, Michael D Chaplin, Anthony Guiseppi-Elie, Geraldine Grant, Andrea Ferreira-Gonzalez and Carleton T Garrett

**Background:** Recent research examining cross-platform correlation of gene expression intensities has yielded mixed results. In this study, we demonstrate use of a correction factor for estimating cross-platform correlations.

**Results:** In this paper, three technical replicate microarrays were hybridized to each of three platforms. The three platforms were then analyzed to assess both intra- and cross-platform reproducibility. We present various methods for examining intra-platform reproducibility. We also examine cross-platform reproducibility using Pearson's correlation. Additionally, we previously developed a correction factor for Pearson's correlation which is applicable when X and Y are measured with error. Herein we demonstrate that correcting for measurement error by estimating the "disattenuated" correlation substantially improves cross-platform correlations.

**Conclusion:** When estimating cross-platform correlation, it is essential to thoroughly evaluate intra-platform reproducibility as a first step. In addition, since measurement error is present in microarray gene expression data, methods to correct for attenuation are useful in decreasing the bias in cross-platform correlation estimates.

#### ***Reproducibility of microarray data: a further analysis of microarray quality control (MAQC) data***

James J Chen, Huey-Miin Hsueh, Robert R Delongchamp, Chien-Ju Lin and Chen-An Tsai

**Background:** Many researchers are concerned with the comparability and reliability of microarray gene expression data. Recent completion of the MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) project provides a unique opportunity to assess reproducibility across multiple sites and the comparability across multiple platforms. The MAQC analysis presented for the conclusion of inter- and intra-platform comparability/reproducibility of microarray gene expression measurements is inadequate. We evaluate the reproducibility/comparability of the MAQC data for 12901 common genes in four titration samples generated from five high-density one-color microarray platforms and the TaqMan technology. We discuss some of the problems with the use of correlation coefficient as metric to evaluate the inter- and intraplatform reproducibility and the percent of overlapping genes (POG) as a measure for evaluation of a gene selection procedure by MAQC.

**Results:** A total of 293 arrays were used in the intra- and inter-platform analysis. A hierarchical cluster analysis shows distinct differences in the measured intensities among the five platforms. A number of genes show a small fold-change in one platform and a large fold-change in another platform, even though the correlations between platforms are high. An analysis of variance shows thirty percent of gene expressions of the samples show inconsistent patterns across the five platforms. We illustrated that POG does not reflect the accuracy of a selected gene list. A non-overlapping gene can be truly differentially expressed with a stringent cut, and an overlapping gene can be non-differentially expressed with non-stringent cutoff. In addition, POG is an unusable

selection criterion. POG can increase or decrease irregularly as cutoff changes; there is no criterion to determine a cutoff so that POG is optimized.

**Conclusion:** Using various statistical methods we demonstrate that there are differences in the intensities measured by different platforms and different sites within platform. Within each platform, the patterns of expression are generally consistent, but there is site-by-site variability. Evaluation of data analysis methods for use in regulatory decision should take no treatment effect into consideration, when there is no treatment effect, "a fold-change cutoff with a non-stringent p-value cutoff" could result in 100% false positive error selection.

### ***Statistical analysis of an RNA titration series evaluates microarray precision and sensitivity on a whole-array basis***

Andrew J Holloway, Alicia Oshlack, Dileepa S Diyagama, David DL Bowtell and Gordon K Smyth

**Background:** Concerns are often raised about the accuracy of microarray technologies and the degree of cross-platform agreement, but there are yet no methods which can unambiguously evaluate precision and sensitivity for these technologies on a whole-array basis.

**Results:** A methodology is described for evaluating the precision and sensitivity of whole-genome gene expression technologies such as microarrays. The method consists of an easy-to-construct titration series of RNA samples and an associated statistical analysis using non-linear regression. The method evaluates the precision and responsiveness of each microarray platform on a wholearray basis, i.e., using all the probes, without the need to match probes across platforms. An experiment is conducted to assess and compare four widely used microarray platforms. All four platforms are shown to have satisfactory precision but the commercial platforms are superior for resolving differential expression for genes at lower expression levels. The effective precision of the two-color platforms is improved by allowing for probe-specific dye-effects in the statistical model. The methodology is used to compare three data extraction algorithms for the Affymetrix platforms, demonstrating poor performance for the commonly used proprietary algorithm relative to the other algorithms. For probes which can be matched across platforms, the cross-platform variability is decomposed into within-platform and between-platform components, showing that platform disagreement is almost entirely systematic rather than due to measurement variability.

**Conclusion:** The results demonstrate good precision and sensitivity for all the platforms, but highlight the need for improved probe annotation. They quantify the extent to which crossplatform measures can be expected to be less accurate than within-platform comparisons for predicting disease progression or outcome.

### ***Three microarray platforms: an analysis of their concordance in profiling gene expression***

David Petersen, GVR Chandramouli, Joel Geoghegan, Joanne Hilburn, Jonathon Paarlberg, Chang Hee Kim, David Munroe, Lisa Gangi, Jing Han, Raj Puri, Lou Staudt, John Weinstein, J Carl Barrett, Jeffrey Green and Ernest S Kawasaki

**Background:** Microarrays for the analysis of gene expression are of three different types: short oligonucleotide (25–30 base), long oligonucleotide (50–80 base), and cDNA (highly variable in length). The short oligonucleotide and cDNA arrays have been the mainstay of expression analysis to date, but long oligonucleotide platforms are gaining in popularity and will probably replace cDNA arrays. As part of a validation study for the long oligonucleotide arrays, we compared and contrasted expression profiles from the three formats, testing RNA from six different cell lines against a universal reference standard.

**Results:** The three platforms had 6430 genes in common. In general, correlation of gene expression levels across the platforms was good when defined by concordance in the direction of expression difference (upregulation or downregulation), scatter plot analysis, principal component analysis, cell line correlation or quantitative RT-PCR. The overall correlations (r values) between platforms were in the range 0.7 to 0.8, as determined by analysis of scatter plots. When concordance was measured for expression ratios significant at p-values of <0.05 and at expression threshold levels of 1.5 and 2-fold, the agreement among the platforms was very high, ranging from 93% to 100%.

**Conclusion:** Our results indicate that the long oligonucleotide platform is highly suitable for expression analysis and compares favorably with the cDNA and short oligonucleotide varieties. All three platforms can give similar and reproducible results if the criterion is the direction of change in gene expression and minimal emphasis is placed on the magnitude of change.

## Differentially expressed gene

### ***A decomposition model to track gene expression signatures: preview on observer-independent classification of ovarian cancer***

Ann-Marie Martoglio, James W. Miskin, Stephen K. Smith and David J.C. MacKay

**Motivation:** A number of algorithms and analytical models have been employed to reduce the multidimensional complexity of DNA array data and attempt to extract some meaningful interpretation of the results. These include clustering, principal components analysis, self-organizing maps, and support vector machine analysis. Each method assumes an implicit model for the data, many of which separate genes into distinct clusters defined by similar expression profiles in the samples tested. A point of concern is that many genes may be involved in a number of distinct behaviours, and should therefore be modelled to fit into as many separate clusters as detected in the multidimensional gene expression space. The analysis of gene expression data using a decomposition model that is independent of the observer involved would be highly beneficial to improve standard and reproducible classification of clinical and research samples.

**Results:** We present a variational independent component analysis (ICA) method for reducing high dimensional DNA array data to a smaller set of latent variables, each associated with a gene signature. We present the results of applying the method to data from an ovarian cancer study, revealing a number of tissue type-specific and tissue type-independent gene signatures present in varying amounts among the samples surveyed. The observer independent results of such molecular analysis of biological samples could help identify patients who would benefit from different treatment strategies. We further explore the application of the model to similar highthroughput studies.

### ***A framework for significance analysis of gene expression data using dimension reduction methods***

Lars Gidskehaug, Endre Anderssen, Arnar Flatberg and Bjørn K Alsberg

**Background:** The most popular methods for significance analysis on microarray data are well suited to find genes differentially expressed across predefined categories. However, identification of features that correlate with continuous dependent variables is more difficult using these methods, and long lists of significant genes returned are not easily probed for co-regulations and dependencies. Dimension reduction methods are much used in the microarray literature for classification or for obtaining low-dimensional representations of data sets. These methods have an additional interpretation strength that is often not fully exploited when expression data are analysed. In addition, significance analysis may be performed directly on the model parameters to find genes that are important for any number of categorical or continuous responses. We introduce a general scheme for analysis of expression data that combines significance testing with the interpretative advantages of the dimension reduction methods. This approach is applicable both for explorative analysis and for classification and regression problems.

**Results:** Three public data sets are analysed. One is used for classification, one contains spiked-in transcripts of known concentrations, and one represents a regression problem with several measured responses. Model-based significance analysis is performed using a modified version of Hotelling's T<sup>2</sup>-test, and a false discovery rate significance level is estimated by resampling. Our results show that underlying biological phenomena and unknown relationships in the data can be detected by a simple visual interpretation of the model parameters. It is also found that measured phenotypic responses may model the expression data more accurately than if the design parameters are used as input. For the classification data, our method finds much the same genes as the standard methods, in addition to some extra which are shown to be biologically relevant. The list of spiked-in genes is also reproduced with high accuracy.

**Conclusion:** The dimension reduction methods are versatile tools that may also be used for significance testing. Visual inspection of model components is useful for interpretation, and the methodology is the same whether the goal is classification, prediction of responses, feature selection or exploration of a data set. The presented framework is conceptually and algorithmically simple, and a Matlab toolbox (Mathworks Inc, USA) is supplemented.

### ***AnovArray: a set of SAS macros for the analysis of variance of gene expression data***

Christelle Hennequet-Antier, Hélène Chiapello, Karine Piot, Séverine Degrelle, Isabelle Hue, Jean-Paul Renard, François Rodolphe and Stéphane Robin

**Background:** Analysis of variance is a powerful approach to identify differentially expressed genes in a complex experimental design for microarray and macroarray data. The advantage of the anova model is the possibility to evaluate multiple sources of variation in an experiment.

**Results:** AnovArray is a package implementing ANOVA for gene expression data using SAS® statistical software. The originality of the package is 1) to quantify the different sources of variation on all genes together, 2) to provide a quality control of the model, 3) to propose two models for a gene's variance estimation and to perform a correction for multiple comparisons.

**Conclusion:** AnovArray is freely available at <http://www-mig.jouy.inra.fr/stat/AnovArray> and requires only SAS® statistical software.

### ***Associating quantitative behavioral traits with gene expression in the brain: searching for diamonds in the hay***

Anat Reiner-Benaim, Daniel Yekutieli, Noah E. Letwin, Gregory I. Elmer, Norman H. Lee, Neri Kafkafi and Yoav Benjamini

Gene expression and phenotypic functionality can best be associated when they are measured quantitatively within the same experiment. The analysis of such a complex experiment is presented, searching for associations between measures of exploratory behavior in mice and gene expression in brain regions. The analysis of such experiments raises several methodological problems. First and foremost, the size of the pool of potential discoveries being screened is enormous yet only few biologically relevant findings are expected, making the problem of multiple testing especially severe. We present solutions based on screening by testing related hypotheses, then testing the hypotheses of interest. In one variant the subset is selected directly, in the other one a tree of hypotheses is tested hierarchical; both variants control the False Discovery Rate (FDR). Other problems in such experiments are in the fact that the level of data aggregation may be different for the quantitative traits (one per animal) and gene expression measurements (pooled across animals); in that the association may not be linear; and in the resolution of interest only few replications exist. We offer solutions to these problems as well. The hierarchical FDR testing strategies presented here can serve beyond the structure of our motivating example study to any complex microarray study.

### ***Biologically meaningful expression profiling across species using heterologous hybridization to a cDNA microarray***

Susan CP Renn, Nadia Aubin-Horth and Hans A Hofmann

**Background:** Unravelling the path from genotype to phenotype, as it is influenced by an organism's environment, is one of the central goals in biology. Gene expression profiling by means of microarrays has become very prominent in this endeavour, although resources exist only for relatively few model systems. As genomics has matured into a comparative research program, expression profiling now also provides a powerful tool for non-traditional model systems to elucidate the molecular basis of complex traits.

**Results:** Here we present a microarray constructed with ~4500 features, derived from a brainspecific cDNA library for the African cichlid fish *Astatotilapia burtoni* (Perciformes). Heterologous hybridization, targeting RNA to an array constructed for a different species, is used for eight different fish species. We quantified the concordance in gene expression profiles across these species (number of genes and fold-changes). Although most robust when target RNA is derived from closely related species (<10 MA divergence time), our results showed consistent profiles for other closely related taxa (~65 MA divergence time) and, to a lesser extent, even very distantly related species (>200 MA divergence time).

**Conclusion:** This strategy overcomes some of the restrictions imposed on model systems that are of importance for evolutionary and ecological studies, but for which only limited sequence information is available. Our work validates the use of expression profiling for functional genomics within a comparative framework and provides a foundation for the molecular and cellular analysis of complex traits in a wide range of organisms.

### ***Blind Source Separation and the Analysis of Microarray Data***

P. Chiappetta, M.C. Roubaud and B. Torr sani

We develop an approach for the exploratory analysis of gene expression data, based upon blind source separation techniques. This approach exploits higher-order statistics to identify a linear model for (logarithms of) expression profiles, described as linear combinations of "independent sources." As a result, it yields "elementary expression patterns" (the "sources"), which may be interpreted as potential regulation pathways. Further analysis of the so-obtained sources show that they are generally characterized by a small number of specific coexpressed

or antiexpressed genes. In addition, the projections of the expression profiles onto the estimated sources often provides significant clustering of conditions. The algorithm relies on a large number of runs of “independent component analysis” with random initializations, followed by a search of “consensus sources.” It then provides estimates for independent sources, together with an assessment of their robustness. The results obtained on two datasets (namely, breast cancer data and *Bacillus subtilis* sulfur metabolism data) show that some of the obtained gene families correspond to well known families of coregulated genes, which validates the proposed approach.

### ***Correspondence analysis applied to microarray data***

Kurt Fellenberg, Nicole C. Hauser, Benedikt Brors, Albert Neutzner, Jörg D. Hoheisel, and Martin Vingron

Correspondence analysis is an explorative computational method for the study of associations between variables. Much like principal component analysis, it displays a low-dimensional projection of the data, e.g., into a plane. It does this, though, for two variables simultaneously, thus revealing associations between them. Here, we demonstrate the applicability of correspondence analysis to and high value for the analysis of microarray data, displaying associations between genes and experiments. To introduce the method, we show its application to the well-known *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* cell-cycle synchronization data by Spellman et al. [Spellman, P. T., Sherlock, G., Zhang, M. Q., Iyer, V. R., Anders, K., Eisen, M. B., Brown, P. O., Botstein, D. & Futcher, B. (1998) *Mol. Biol. Cell* 9, 3273–3297], allowing for comparison with their visualization of this data set. Furthermore, we apply correspondence analysis to a non-time-series data set of our own, thus supporting its general applicability to microarray data of different complexity, underlying structure, and experimental strategy (both two-channel fluorescence- tag and radioactive labeling).

### ***Estimating mutual information using B-spline functions – an improved similarity measure for analysing gene expression data***

Carsten O Daub, Ralf Steuer, Joachim Selbig and Sebastian Kloska

**Background:** The information theoretic concept of mutual information provides a general framework to evaluate dependencies between variables. In the context of the clustering of genes with similar patterns of expression it has been suggested as a general quantity of similarity to extend commonly used linear measures. Since mutual information is defined in terms of discrete variables, its application to continuous data requires the use of binning procedures, which can lead to significant numerical errors for datasets of small or moderate size.

**Results:** In this work, we propose a method for the numerical estimation of mutual information from continuous data. We investigate the characteristic properties arising from the application of our algorithm and show that our approach outperforms commonly used algorithms: The significance, as a measure of the power of distinction from random correlation, is significantly increased. This concept is subsequently illustrated on two large-scale gene expression datasets and the results are compared to those obtained using other similarity measures. A C++ source code of our algorithm is available for non-commercial use from [kloska@scienion.de](mailto:kloska@scienion.de) upon request.

**Conclusion:** The utilisation of mutual information as similarity measure enables the detection of non-linear correlations in gene expression datasets. Frequently applied linear correlation measures, which are often used on an ad-hoc basis without further justification, are thereby extended.

### ***Extending the pathway analysis framework with a test for transcriptional variance implicates novel pathway modulation during myogenic differentiation***

Daniel M. Kemp, N. R. Nirmla and Joseph D. Szustakowski

**Motivation:** We describe an extension of the pathway-based enrichment approach for analyzing microarray data via a robust test for transcriptional variance. The use of a variance test is intended to identify additional patterns of transcriptional regulation in which many genes in a pathway are up- and down-regulated. Such patterns may be indicative of the reciprocal regulation of pathway activators and inhibitors or of the differential regulation of separate biological sub-processes and should extend the number of detectable patterns of transcriptional modulation.

**Results:** We validated this new statistical approach on a microarray experiment that captures the temporal transcriptional profile of muscle differentiation in mouse C2C12 cells. Comparisons of the transcriptional state of myoblasts and differentiated myotubes via a robust variance test implicated several novel pathways in muscle cell differentiation previously overlooked by a standard enrichment analysis. Specifically, pathways involved in cell structure, calcium-mediated signaling and muscle-specific signaling were identified as differentially modulated based on their increased transcriptional variance. These biologically relevant results validate this approach and demonstrate the flexible nature of pathway-based methods of data analysis.

***Extracting biological information from DNA arrays: an unexpected link between arginine and methionine metabolism in *Bacillus subtilis****

Agnieszka Sekowska, Stéphane Robin, Jean-Jacques Daudin, Alain Hénaut and Antoine Danchin

**Background:** In global gene expression profiling experiments, variation in the expression of genes of interest can often be hidden by general noise. To determine how biologically significant variation can be distinguished under such conditions we have analyzed the differences in gene expression when *Bacillus subtilis* is grown either on methionine or on methylthioribose as sulfur source.

**Results:** An unexpected link between arginine metabolism and sulfur metabolism was discovered, enabling us to identify a high-affinity arginine transport system encoded by the *yqiXYZ* genes. In addition, we tentatively identified a methionine/methionine sulfoxide transport system which is encoded by the operon *ytmJKLMhisP* and is presumably used in the degradation of methionine sulfoxide to methane sulfonate for sulfur recycling. Experimental parameters resulting in systematic biases in gene expression were also uncovered. In particular, we found that the late competence operons *comE*, *comF* and *comG* were associated with subtle variations in growth conditions.

**Conclusions:** Using variance analysis it is possible to distinguish between systematic biases and relevant gene-expression variation in transcriptome experiments. Co-variation of metabolic gene expression pathways was thus uncovered linking nitrogen and sulfur metabolism in *B. subtilis*.

***GeneANOVA – gene expression analysis of variance***

Gilles Didier, Pierre Brézellec, Elisabeth Rémy and Alain Hénaut

GeneANOVA is an ANOVA-based software devoted to the analysis of gene expression data.

***Gene expression variation between mouse inbred strains***

Rolf Turk, Peter AC 't Hoen, Ellen Sterrenburg, Renée X de Menezes, Emile J de Meijer, Judith M Boer, Gert-Jan B van Ommen and Johan T den Dunnen

**Background:** In this study, we investigated the effect of genetic background on expression profiles. We analysed the transcriptome of mouse hindlimb muscle of five frequently used mouse inbred strains using spotted oligonucleotide microarrays.

**Results:** Through ANOVA analysis with a false discovery rate of 10%, we show that 1.4% of the analysed genes is significantly differentially expressed between these mouse strains. Differential expression of several of these genes has been confirmed by quantitative RT-PCR. The number of genes affected by genetic background is approximately ten-fold lower than the number of differentially expressed genes caused by a dystrophic genetic defect.

**Conclusions:** We conclude that evaluation of the effect of background on gene expression profiles in the tissue under study is an effective and sensible approach when comparing expression patterns in animal models with heterogeneous genetic backgrounds. Genes affected by the genetic background can be excluded in subsequent analyses of the disease-related changes in expression profiles. This is often a more effective strategy than backcrossing and inbreeding to obtain isogenic backgrounds.

***Independent Component Analysis: A Tutorial***

Aapo Hyvärinen and Erkki Oja

Independent component analysis was originally developed to deal with problems that are closely related to the cocktail party problem. Since the recent increase of interest in ICA, it has become clear that this principle has a lot of other interesting applications as well.

***Independent component analysis reveals new and biologically significant structures in micro array data***

Attila Frigyesi, Srinivas Veerla, David Lindgren and Mattias Höglund

**Background:** An alternative to standard approaches to uncover biologically meaningful structures in micro array data is to treat the data as a blind source separation (BSS) problem. BSS attempts to separate a mixture of signals into their different sources and refers to the problem of recovering signals from several observed linear mixtures. In the context of micro array data, "sources" may correspond to specific cellular responses or to co-regulated genes.

**Results:** We applied independent component analysis (ICA) to three different microarray data sets; two tumor data sets and one time series experiment. To obtain reliable components we used iterated ICA to estimate component centrotypes. We found that many of the low ranking components indeed may show a strong biological coherence and hence be of biological significance. Generally ICA achieved a higher resolution when compared with results based on correlated expression and a larger number of gene clusters with significantly enriched for gene ontology (GO) categories. In addition, components characteristic for molecular subtypes and for tumors with specific chromosomal translocations were identified. ICA also identified more than one gene clusters significant for the same GO categories and hence disclosed a higher level of biological heterogeneity, even within coherent groups of genes.

**Conclusion:** Although the ICA approach primarily detects hidden variables, these surfaced as highly correlated genes in time series data and in one instance in the tumor data. This further strengthens the biological relevance of latent variables detected by ICA.

### ***Linear modes of gene expression determined by independent component analysis***

Wolfram Liebermeister

**Motivation:** The expression of genes is controlled by specific combinations of cellular variables. We applied Independent Component Analysis (ICA) to gene expression data, deriving a linear model based on hidden variables, which we term 'expression modes'. The expression of each gene is a linear function of the expression modes, where, according to the ICA model, the linear influences of different modes show a minimal statistical dependence, and their distributions deviate sharply from the normal distribution.

**Results:** Studying cell cycle-related gene expression in yeast, we found that the dominant expression modes could be related to distinct biological functions, such as phases of the cell cycle or the mating response. Analysis of human lymphocytes revealed modes that were related to characteristic differences between cell types. With both data sets, the linear influences of the dominant modes showed distributions with large tails, indicating the existence of specifically up- and downregulated target genes. The expression modes and their influences can be used to visualize the samples and genes in low dimensional spaces. A projection to expression modes helps to highlight particular biological functions, to reduce noise, and to compress the data in a biologically sensible way.

### ***Metabolite fingerprinting: detecting biological features by independent component analysis***

M. Scholz, S. Gatzek, A. Sterling, O. Fiehn and J. Selbig

**Motivation:** Metabolite fingerprinting is a technology for providing information from spectra of total compositions of metabolites. Here, spectra acquisitions by microchip-based nanoflow-direct-infusion QTOF mass spectrometry, a simple and high throughput technique, is tested for its informative power. As a simple test case we are using *Arabidopsis thaliana* crosses. The question is how metabolite fingerprinting reflects the biological background. In many applications the classical principal component analysis (PCA) is used for detecting relevant information. Here a modern alternative is introduced—the independent component analysis (ICA). Due to its independence condition, ICA is more suitable for our questions than PCA. However, ICA has not been developed for a small number of high-dimensional samples, therefore a strategy is needed to overcome this limitation.

**Results:** To apply ICA successfully it is essential first to reduce the high dimension of the dataset, by using PCA. The number of principal components determines the quality of ICA significantly, therefore we propose a criterion for estimating the optimal dimension automatically. The kurtosis measure is used to order the extracted components to our interest. Applied to our *A. thaliana* data, ICA detects three relevant factors, two biological and one technical, and clearly outperforms the PCA.

### ***Novel approaches to gene expression analysis of active polyarticular juvenile rheumatoid arthritis***

James N Jarvis, Igor Dozmorov, Kaiyu Jiang, Mark Barton Frank, Peter Szodoray, Philip Alex and Michael Centola

Juvenile rheumatoid arthritis (JRA) has a complex, poorly characterized pathophysiology. Modeling of transcriptome behavior in pathologic specimens using microarrays allows molecular dissection of complex autoimmune diseases. However, conventional analyses rely on identifying statistically significant differences in gene expression distributions between patients and controls. Since the principal aspects of disease pathophysiology vary significantly among patients, these analyses are biased. Genes with highly variable expression, those most likely to regulate and affect pathologic processes, are excluded from selection, as their distribution among healthy and affected individuals may overlap significantly. Here we describe a novel method

for analyzing microarray data that assesses statistically significant changes in gene behavior at the population level. This method was applied to expression profiles of peripheral blood leukocytes from a group of children with polyarticular JRA and healthy control subjects. Results from this method are compared with those from a conventional analysis of differential gene expression and shown to identify discrete subsets of functionally related genes relevant to disease pathophysiology. These results reveal the complex action of the innate and adaptive immune responses in patients and specifically underscore the role of IFN- $\gamma$  in disease pathophysiology. Discriminant function analysis of data from a cohort of patients treated with conventional therapy identified additional subsets of functionally related genes; the results may predict treatment outcomes. While data from only 9 patients and 12 healthy controls was used, this preliminary investigation of the inflammatory genomics of JRA illustrates the significant potential of utilizing complementary sets of bioinformatics tools to maximize the clinical relevance of microarray data from patients with autoimmune disease, even in small cohorts.

### ***Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response***

Virginia Goss Tusher, Robert Tibshirani and Gilbert Chu

Microarrays can measure the expression of thousands of genes to identify changes in expression between different biological states. Methods are needed to determine the significance of these changes while accounting for the enormous number of genes. We describe a method, Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM), that assigns a score to each gene on the basis of change in gene expression relative to the standard deviation of repeated measurements. For genes with scores greater than an adjustable threshold, SAM uses permutations of the repeated measurements to estimate the percentage of genes identified by chance, the false discovery rate (FDR). When the transcriptional response of human cells to ionizing radiation was measured by microarrays, SAM identified 34 genes that changed at least 1.5-fold with an estimated FDR of 12%, compared with FDRs of 60 and 84% by using conventional methods of analysis. Of the 34 genes, 19 were involved in cell cycle regulation and 3 in apoptosis. Surprisingly, four nucleotide excision repair genes were induced, suggesting that this repair pathway for UV-damaged DNA might play a previously unrecognized role in repairing DNA damaged by ionizing radiation.

### ***Statistical Design and the Analysis of Gene Expression Microarray Data***

M. Kathleen Kerr and Gary A. Churchill

Gene expression microarrays are an innovative technology with enormous promise to help geneticists explore and understand the genome. Although the potential of this technology has been clearly demonstrated, many important and interesting statistical questions persist. We relate certain features of microarrays to other kinds of experimental data and argue that classical statistical techniques are appropriate and useful. We advocate greater attention to experimental design issues and a more prominent role for the ideas of statistical inference in microarray studies.

### ***Variation in tissue-specific gene expression among natural populations***

Andrew Whitehead and Douglas L Crawford

**Background:** Variation in gene expression is extensive among tissues, individuals, strains, populations and species. The interactions among these sources of variation are relevant for physiological studies such as disease or toxic stress; for example, it is common for pathologies such as cancer, heart failure and metabolic disease to be associated with changes in tissue-specific gene expression or changes in metabolic gene expression. But how conserved these differences are among outbred individuals and among populations has not been well documented. To address this we examined the expression of a selected suite of 192 metabolic genes in brain, heart and liver in three populations of the teleost fish *Fundulus heteroclitus* using a highly replicated experimental design.

**Results:** Half of the genes (48%) were differentially expressed among individuals within a population-tissue group and 76% were differentially expressed among tissues. Differences among tissues reflected well established tissue-specific metabolic requirements, suggesting that these measures of gene expression accurately reflect changes in proteins and their phenotypic effects. Remarkably, only a small subset (31%) of tissue-specific differences was consistent in all three populations.

**Conclusions:** These data indicate that many tissue-specific differences in gene expression are unique to one population and thus are unlikely to contribute to fundamental differences between tissue types. We suggest that those subsets of treatment-specific gene expression patterns that are conserved between taxa are most likely to be functionally related to the physiological state in question.



## FDR

### ***A comprehensive evaluation of SAM, the SAM R-package and a simple modification to improve its performance***

Shunpu Zhang

**Background:** The Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM) is a popular method for detecting significantly expressed genes and controlling the false discovery rate (FDR). Recently, it has been reported in the literature that the FDR is not well controlled by SAM. Due to the vast application of SAM in microarray data analysis, it is of great importance to have an extensive evaluation of SAM and its associated R-package (sam2.20).

**Results:** Our study has identified several discrepancies between SAM and sam2.20. One major difference is that SAM and sam2.20 use different methods for estimating FDR. Such discrepancies may cause confusion among the researchers who are using SAM or are developing the SAM-like methods. We have also shown that SAM provides no meaningful estimates of FDR and this problem has been corrected in sam2.20 by using a different formula for estimating FDR. However, we have found that, even with the improvement sam2.20 has made over SAM, sam2.20 may still produce erroneous and even conflicting results under certain situations. Using an example, we show that the problem of sam2.20 is caused by its use of asymmetric cutoffs which are due to the large variability of null scores at both ends of the order statistics. An obvious approach without the complication of the order statistics is the conventional symmetric cutoff method. For this reason, we have carried out extensive simulations to compare the performance of sam2.20 and the symmetric cutoff method. Finally, a simple modification is proposed to improve the FDR estimation of sam2.20 and the symmetric cutoff method.

**Conclusion:** Our study shows that the most serious drawback of SAM is its poor estimation of FDR. Although this drawback has been corrected in sam2.20, the control of FDR by sam2.20 is still not satisfactory. The comparison between sam2.20 and the symmetric cutoff method reveals that the relative performance of sam2.20 to the symmetric cutoff method depends on the ratio of induced to repressed genes in a microarray data, and is also affected by the ratio of DE to EE genes and the distributions of induced and repressed genes. Numerical simulations show that the symmetric cutoff method has the biggest advantage over sam2.20 when there are equal number of induced and repressed genes (i.e., the ratio of induced to repressed genes is 1). As the ratio of induced to repressed genes moves away from 1, the advantage of the symmetric cutoff method to sam2.20 is gradually diminishing until eventually sam2.20 becomes significantly better than the symmetric cutoff method when the differentially expressed (DE) genes are either all induced or all repressed genes. Simulation results also show that our proposed simple modification provides improved control of FDR for both sam2.20 and the symmetric cutoff method.

### ***A comparative review of estimates of the proportion unchanged genes and the false discovery rate***

Per Broberg

**Background:** In the analysis of microarray data one generally produces a vector of p-values that for each gene give the likelihood of obtaining equally strong evidence of change by pure chance. The distribution of these p-values is a mixture of two components corresponding to the changed genes and the unchanged ones. The focus of this article is how to estimate the proportion unchanged and the false discovery rate (FDR) and how to make inferences based on these concepts. Six published methods for estimating the proportion unchanged genes are reviewed, two alternatives are presented, and all are tested on both simulated and real data. All estimates but one make do without any parametric assumptions concerning the distributions of the p-values. Furthermore, the estimation and use of the FDR and the closely related q-value is illustrated with examples. Five published estimates of the FDR and one new are presented and tested. Implementations in R code are available.

**Results:** A simulation model based on the distribution of real microarray data plus two real data sets were used to assess the methods. The proposed alternative methods for estimating the proportion unchanged fared very well, and gave evidence of low bias and very low variance. Different methods perform well depending upon whether there are few or many regulated genes. Furthermore, the methods for estimating FDR showed a varying performance, and were sometimes misleading. The new method had a very low error.

**Conclusion:** The concept of the q-value or false discovery rate is useful in practical research, despite some theoretical and practical shortcomings. However, it seems possible to challenge the performance of the published methods, and there is likely scope for further developing the estimates of the FDR. The new methods provide the scientist with more options to choose a suitable method for any particular experiment. The article advocates the

use of the conjoint information regarding false positive and negative rates as well as the proportion unchanged when identifying changed genes.

### ***A note on the false discovery rate and inconsistent comparisons between experiments***

Roger Higdon, Gerald van Belle and Eugene Kolker

The false discovery rate (FDR) has been widely adopted to address the multiple comparisons issue in high-throughput experiments such as microarray gene-expression studies. However, while the FDR is quite useful as an approach to limit false discoveries within a single experiment, like other multiple comparison corrections it may be an inappropriate way to compare results across experiments. This article uses several examples based on gene expression data to demonstrate the potential misinterpretations that can arise from using FDR to compare across experiments. Researchers should be aware of these pitfalls and wary of using FDR to compare experimental results. FDR should be augmented with other measures such as p-values and expression ratios. It is worth including standard error and variance information for meta-analyses and, if possible, the raw data for re-analyses. This is especially important for high-throughput studies because data are often re-used for different objectives, including comparing common elements across many experiments. No single error rate or data summary may be appropriate for all of the different objectives.

### ***A note on using permutation-based false discovery rate estimates to compare different analysis methods for microarray data***

Yang Xie, Wei Pan and Arkady B. Khodursky

**Motivation:** False discovery rate (FDR) is defined as the expected percentage of false positives among all the claimed positives. In practice, with the true FDR unknown, an estimated FDR can serve as a criterion to evaluate the performance of various statistical methods under the condition that the estimated FDR approximates the true FDR well, or at least, it does not improperly favor or disfavor any particular method. Permutation methods have become popular to estimate FDR in genomic studies. The purpose of this paper is 2-fold. First, we investigate theoretically and empirically whether the standard permutation-based FDR estimator is biased, and if so, whether the bias inappropriately favors or disfavors any method. Second, we propose a simple modification of the standard permutation to yield a better FDR estimator, which can in turn serve as a more fair criterion to evaluate various statistical methods.

**Results:** Both simulated and real data examples are used for illustration and comparison. Three commonly used test statistics, the sample mean, SAM statistic and Student's t-statistic, are considered. The results show that the standard permutation method overestimates FDR. The overestimation is the most severe for the sample mean statistic while the least for the t-statistic with the SAM-statistic lying between the two extremes, suggesting that one has to be cautious when using the standard permutation-based FDR estimates to evaluate various statistical methods. In addition, our proposed FDR estimation method is simple and outperforms the standard method.

### ***A simple method for assessing sample sizes in microarray experiments***

Robert Tibshirani

**Background:** In this short article, we discuss a simple method for assessing sample size requirements in microarray experiments.

**Results:** Our method starts with the output from a permutation-based analysis for a set of pilot data, e.g. from the SAM package. Then for a given hypothesized mean difference and various samples sizes, we estimate the false discovery rate and false negative rate of a list of genes; these are also interpretable as per gene power and type I error. We also discuss application of our method to other kinds of response variables, for example survival outcomes.

**Conclusion:** Our method seems to be useful for sample size assessment in microarray experiments.

### ***Effects of dependence in high-dimensional multiple testing problems***

Kyung In Kim and Mark A van de Wiel

**Background:** We consider effects of dependence among variables of high-dimensional data in multiple hypothesis testing problems, in particular the False Discovery Rate (FDR) control procedures. Recent simulation studies consider only simple correlation structures among variables, which is hardly inspired by real data features. Our aim is to systematically study effects of several network features like sparsity and correlation strength by imposing dependence structures among variables using random correlation matrices.

**Results:** We study the robustness against dependence of several FDR procedures that are popular in microarray studies, such as Benjamin-Hochberg FDR, Storey's q-value, SAM and resampling based FDR procedures. False Non-discovery Rates and estimates of the number of null hypotheses are computed from those methods and compared. Our simulation study shows that methods such as SAM and the q-value do not adequately control the FDR to the level claimed under dependence conditions. On the other hand, the adaptive Benjamini-Hochberg procedure seems to be most robust while remaining conservative. Finally, the estimates of the number of true null hypotheses under various dependence conditions are variable.

**Conclusion:** We discuss a new method for efficient guided simulation of dependent data, which satisfy imposed network constraints as conditional independence structures. Our simulation setup allows for a structural study of the effect of dependencies on multiple testing criteria and is useful for testing a potentially new method on  $p_0$  or FDR estimation in a dependency context.

### ***Empirical Bayes screening of many p-values with applications to microarray studies***

Susmita Datta and Somnath Datta

**Motivation:** Statistical tests for the detection of differentially expressed genes lead to a large collection of p-values one for each gene comparison. Without any further adjustment, these p-values may lead to a large number of false positives, simply because the number of genes to be tested is huge, which might mean wastage of laboratory resources. To account for multiple hypotheses, these p-values are typically adjusted using a single step method or a step-down method in order to achieve an overall control of the error rate (the so-called familywise error rate). In many applications, this may lead to an overly conservative strategy leading to too few genes being flagged.

**Results:** In this paper we introduce a novel empirical Bayes screening (EBS) technique to inspect a large number of p-values in an effort to detect additional positive cases. In effect, each case borrows strength from an overall picture of the alternative hypotheses computed from all the p-values, while the entire procedure is calibrated by a step-down method so that the familywise error rate at the complete null hypothesis is still controlled. It is shown that the EBS has substantially higher sensitivity than the standard step-down approach for multiple comparison at the cost of a modest increase in the false discovery rate (FDR). The EBS procedure also compares favorably when compared with existing FDR control procedures for multiple testing. The EBS procedure is particularly useful in situations where it is important to identify all possible potentially positive cases which can be subjected to further confirmatory testing in order to eliminate the false positives. We illustrated this screening procedure using a data set on human colorectal cancer where we show that the EBS method detected additional genes related to colon cancer that were missed by other methods. This novel empirical Bayes procedure is advantageous over our earlier proposed empirical Bayes adjustments due to the following reasons: (i) it offers an automatic screening of the p-values the user may obtain from a univariate (i.e., gene by gene) analysis package making it extremely easy to use for a non-statistician, (ii) since it applies to the p-values, the tests do not have to be t-tests; in particular they could be F-tests which might arise in certain ANOVA formulations with expression data or even nonparametric tests, (iii) the empirical Bayes adjustment uses nonparametric function estimation techniques to estimate the marginal density of the transformed p-values rather than using a parametric model for the prior distribution and is therefore robust against model mis-specification.

### ***Estimating p-values in small microarray experiments***

Hyuna Yang and Gary Churchill

**Motivation:** Microarray data typically have small numbers of observations per gene, which can result in low power for statistical tests. Test statistics that borrow information from data across all of the genes can improve power, but these statistics have non-standard distributions, and their significance must be assessed using permutation analysis. When sample sizes are small, the number of distinct permutations can be severely limited, and pooling the permutation-derived test statistics across all genes has been proposed. However, the null distribution of the test statistics under permutation is not the same for equally and differentially expressed genes. This can have a negative impact on both p-value estimation and the power of information borrowing statistics.

**Results:** We investigate permutation based methods for estimating p-values. One of methods that uses pooling from a selected subset of the data are shown to have the correct type I error rate and to provide accurate estimates of the false discovery rate (FDR). We provide guidelines to select an appropriate subset. We also demonstrate that information borrowing statistics have substantially increased power compared to the t-test in small experiments.

***Quick calculation for sample size while controlling false discovery rate with application to microarray analysis***

Peng Liu and J. T. Gene Hwang

**Motivation:** Sample size calculation is important in experimental design and is even more so in microarray or proteomic experiments since only a few repetitions can be afforded. In the multiple testing problems involving these experiments, it is more powerful and more reasonable to control false discovery rate (FDR) or positive FDR (pFDR) instead of type I error, e.g. family-wise error rate (FWER). When controlling FDR, the traditional approach of estimating sample size by controlling type I error is no longer applicable.

**Results:** Our proposed method applies to controlling FDR. The sample size calculation is straightforward and requires minimal computation, as illustrated with two sample t-tests and F-tests. Based on simulation with the resultant sample size, the power is shown to be achievable by the q-value procedure.

## Gene Ontology

***Classification of microarray data using gene networks***

Franck Rapaport, Andrei Zinovyev, Marie Dutreix, Emmanuel Barillot and Jean-Philippe Vert

**Background:** Microarrays have become extremely useful for analysing genetic phenomena, but establishing a relation between microarray analysis results (typically a list of genes) and their biological significance is often difficult. Currently, the standard approach is to map a posteriori the results onto gene networks in order to elucidate the functions perturbed at the level of pathways. However, integrating a priori knowledge of the gene networks could help in the statistical analysis of gene expression data and in their biological interpretation.

**Results:** We propose a method to integrate a priori the knowledge of a gene network in the analysis of gene expression data. The approach is based on the spectral decomposition of gene expression profiles with respect to the eigenfunctions of the graph, resulting in an attenuation of the high-frequency components of the expression profiles with respect to the topology of the graph. We show how to derive unsupervised and supervised classification algorithms of expression profiles, resulting in classifiers with biological relevance. We illustrate the method with the analysis of a set of expression profiles from irradiated and non-irradiated yeast strains.

**Conclusion:** Including a priori knowledge of a gene network for the analysis of gene expression data leads to good classification performance and improved interpretability of the results.

***Enrichment or depletion of a GO category within a class of genes: which test?***

Isabelle Rivals, Léon Personnaz, Lieng Taing and Marie-Claude Potier

**Motivation:** A number of available program packages determine the significant enrichments and/or depletions of GO categories among a class of genes of interest. Whereas a correct formulation of the problem leads to a single exact null distribution, these GO tools use a large variety of statistical tests whose denominations often do not clarify the underlying P-value computations.

**Summary:** We review the different formulations of the problem and the tests they lead to: the binomial,  $\chi^2$ , equality of two probabilities, Fisher's exact and hypergeometric tests. We clarify the relationships existing between these tests, in particular the equivalence between the hypergeometric test and Fisher's exact test. We recall that the other tests are valid only for large samples, the test of equality of two probabilities and the  $\chi^2$ -test being equivalent. We discuss the appropriateness of one- and two-sided P-values, as well as some discreteness and conservatism issues.

***Identification of differentially expressed gene categories in microarray studies using nonparametric multivariate analysis***

Dan Nettleton, Justin Recknor and James M. Reecy

**Motivation:** The field of microarray data analysis is shifting emphasis from methods for identifying differentially expressed genes to methods for identifying differentially expressed gene categories. The latter approaches utilize a priori information about genes to group genes into categories and enhance the interpretation of experiments aimed at identifying expression differences across treatments. While almost all of the existing approaches for identifying differentially expressed gene categories are practically useful, they suffer from a variety of drawbacks. Perhaps most notably, many popular tools are based exclusively on gene-specific statistics that cannot detect many types of multivariate expression change.

**Results:** We have developed a nonparametric multivariate method for identifying gene categories whose multivariate expression distribution differs across two or more conditions. We illustrate our approach and compare its performance to several existing procedures via the analysis of a real data set and a unique data-based simulation study designed to capture the challenges and complexities of practical data analysis. We show that our method has good power for differentiating between differentially expressed and nondifferentially expressed gene categories, and we utilize a resampling based strategy for controlling the false discovery rate when testing multiple categories.

### ***Ontological analysis of gene expression data: current tools, limitations, and open problems***

Purvash Khatri and Sorin Drăghici

Independent of the platform and the analysis methods used, the result of a microarray experiment is, in most cases, a list of differentially expressed genes. An automatic ontological analysis approach has been recently proposed to help with the biological interpretation of such results. Currently, this approach is the de facto standard for the secondary analysis of high throughput experiments and a large number of tools have been developed for this purpose. We present a detailed comparison of 14 such tools using the following criteria: scope of the analysis, visualization capabilities, statistical model(s) used, correction for multiple comparisons, reference microarrays available, installation issues and sources of annotation data. This detailed analysis of the capabilities of these tools will help researchers choose the most appropriate tool for a given type of analysis. More importantly, in spite of the fact that this type of analysis has been generally adopted, this approach has several important intrinsic drawbacks. These drawbacks are associated with all tools discussed and represent conceptual limitations of the current state-of-the-art in ontological analysis. We propose these as challenges for the next generation of secondary data analysis tools.

## **Gene-set analysis**

### ***An empirical Bayes approach to inferring large-scale gene association networks***

Juliane Schäfer and Korbinian Strimmer

**Motivation:** Genetic networks are often described statistically using graphical models (e.g. Bayesian networks). However, inferring the network structure offers a serious challenge in microarray analysis where the sample size is small compared to the number of considered genes. This renders many standard algorithms for graphical models inapplicable, and inferring genetic networks an ‘ill-posed’ inverse problem.

**Methods:** We introduce a novel framework for small-sample inference of graphical models from gene expression data. Specifically, we focus on the so-called graphical Gaussian models (GGMs) that are now frequently used to describe gene association networks and to detect conditionally dependent genes. Our new approach is based on (1) improved (regularized) small-sample point estimates of partial correlation, (2) an exact test of edge inclusion with adaptive estimation of the degree of freedom and (3) a heuristic network search based on false discovery rate multiple testing. Steps (2) and (3) correspond to an empirical Bayes estimate of the network topology.

**Results:** Using computer simulations, we investigate the sensitivity (power) and specificity (true negative rate) of the proposed framework to estimate GGMs from microarray data. This shows that it is possible to recover the true network topology with high accuracy even for small-sample datasets. Subsequently, we analyze gene expression data from a breast cancer tumor study and illustrate our approach by inferring a corresponding large-scale gene association network for 3883 genes.

### ***Analyzing gene expression data in terms of gene sets: methodological issues***

Jelle J. Goeman and Peter Bühlmann

**Motivation:** Many statistical tests have been proposed in recent years for analyzing gene expression data in terms of gene sets, usually from Gene Ontology. These methods are based on widely different methodological assumptions. Some approaches test differential expression of each gene set against differential expression of the rest of the genes, whereas others test each gene set on its own. Also, some methods are based on a model in which the genes are the sampling units, whereas others treat the subjects as the sampling units. This article aims to clarify the assumptions behind different approaches and to indicate a preferential methodology of gene set testing.

**Results:** We identify some crucial assumptions which are needed by the majority of methods. P-values derived from methods that use a model which takes the genes as the sampling unit are easily misinterpreted, as they are

based on a statistical model that does not resemble the biological experiment actually performed. Furthermore, because these models are based on a crucial and unrealistic independence assumption between genes, the P-values derived from such methods can be wildly anti-conservative, as a simulation experiment shows. We also argue that methods that competitively test each gene set against the rest of the genes create an unnecessary rift between single gene testing and gene set testing.

### ***Comparative evaluation of gene-set analysis methods***

Qi Liu, Irina Dinu, Adeniyi J Adewale, John D Potter and Yutaka Yasui

**Background:** Multiple data-analytic methods have been proposed for evaluating gene-expression levels in specific biological pathways, assessing differential expression associated with a binary phenotype. Following Goeman and Bühlmann's recent review, we compared statistical performance of three methods, namely Global Test, ANCOVA Global Test, and SAM-GS, that test "self-contained null hypotheses" via subject sampling. The three methods were compared based on a simulation experiment and analyses of three real-world microarray datasets.

**Results:** In the simulation experiment, we found that the use of the asymptotic distribution in the two Global Tests leads to a statistical test with an incorrect size. Specifically, p-values calculated by the scaled  $\chi^2$  distribution of Global Test and the asymptotic distribution of ANCOVA Global Test are too liberal, while the asymptotic distribution with a quadratic form of the Global Test results in p-values that are too conservative. The two Global Tests with permutation-based inference, however, gave a correct size. While the three methods showed similar power using permutation inference after a proper standardization of gene expression data, SAM-GS showed slightly higher power than the Global Tests. In the analysis of a real-world microarray dataset, the two Global Tests gave markedly different results, compared to SAM-GS, in identifying pathways whose gene expressions are associated with p53 mutation in cancer cell lines. A proper standardization of gene expression variances is necessary for the two Global Tests in order to produce biologically sensible results. After the standardization, the three methods gave very similar biologically-sensible results, with slightly higher statistical significance given by SAM-GS. The three methods gave similar patterns of results in the analysis of the other two microarray datasets.

**Conclusion:** An appropriate standardization makes the performance of all three methods similar, given the use of permutation-based inference. SAM-GS tends to have slightly higher power in the lower  $\alpha$ -level region (i.e. gene sets that are of the greatest interest). Global Test and ANCOVA Global Test have the important advantage of being able to analyze continuous and survival phenotypes and to adjust for covariates. A free Microsoft Excel Add-In to perform SAM-GS is available from <http://www.ualberta.ca/~yyasui/homepage.html>.

### ***Integration of transcriptomics and metabolomics for understanding of global responses to nutritional stresses in Arabidopsis thaliana***

Masami Yokota Hirai, Mitsuru Yano, Dayan B. Goodenowe, Shigehiko Kanaya, Tomoko Kimura, Motoko Awazuhara, Masanori Arita, Toru Fujiwara, and Kazuki Saito

Plant metabolism is a complex set of processes that produce a wide diversity of foods, woods, and medicines. With the genome sequences of Arabidopsis and rice in hand, postgenomics studies integrating all "omics" sciences can depict precise pictures of a whole-cellular process. Here, we present, to our knowledge, the first report of investigation for gene-to-metabolite networks regulating sulfur and nitrogen nutrition and secondary metabolism in Arabidopsis, with integration of metabolomics and transcriptomics. Transcriptome and metabolome analyses were carried out, respectively, with DNA microarray and several chemical analytical methods, including ultra high-resolution Fourier transform-ion cyclotron MS. Mathematical analyses, including principal component analysis and batch-learning self-organizing map analysis of transcriptome and metabolome data suggested the presence of general responses to sulfur and nitrogen deficiencies. In addition, specific responses to either sulfur or nitrogen deficiency were observed in several metabolic pathways: in particular, the genes and metabolites involved in glucosinolate metabolism were shown to be coordinately modulated. Understanding such gene-to-metabolite networks in primary and secondary metabolism through integration of transcriptomics and metabolomics can lead to identification of gene function and subsequent improvement of production of useful compounds in plants.

### ***Pathway level analysis of gene expression using singular value decomposition***

John Tomfohr, Jun Lu and Thomas B Kepler

**Background:** A promising direction in the analysis of gene expression focuses on the changes in expression of specific predefined sets of genes that are known in advance to be related (e.g., genes coding for proteins involved

in cellular pathways or complexes). Such an analysis can reveal features that are not easily visible from the variations in the individual genes and can lead to a picture of expression that is more biologically transparent and accessible to interpretation. In this article, we present a new method of this kind that operates by quantifying the level of 'activity' of each pathway in different samples. The activity levels, which are derived from singular value decompositions, form the basis for statistical comparisons and other applications.

**Results:** We demonstrate our approach using expression data from a study of type 2 diabetes and another of the influence of cigarette smoke on gene expression in airway epithelia. A number of interesting pathways are identified in comparisons between smokers and non-smokers including ones related to nicotine metabolism, mucus production, and glutathione metabolism. A comparison with results from the related approach, 'gene-set enrichment analysis', is also provided.

**Conclusion:** Our method offers a flexible basis for identifying differentially expressed pathways from gene expression data. The results of a pathway-based analysis can be complementary to those obtained from one more focused on individual genes. A web program PLAGE (Pathway Level Analysis of Gene Expression) for performing the kinds of analyses described here is accessible at <http://dulci.biostat.duke.edu/pathways>.

## Meta-analysis

### *A comparison of meta-analysis methods for detecting differentially expressed genes in microarray experiments*

Fangxin Hong and Rainer Breitling

**Motivation:** The proliferation of public data repositories creates a need for meta-analysis methods to efficiently evaluate, integrate and validate related datasets produced by independent groups. A t-based approach has been proposed to integrate effect size from multiple studies by modeling both intra- and between-study variation. Recently, a non-parametric 'rank product' method, which is derived based on biological reasoning of fold-change criteria, has been applied to directly combine multiple datasets into one meta study. Fisher's Inverse  $\chi^2$  method, which only depends on P-values from individual analyses of each dataset, has been used in a couple of medical studies. While these methods address the question from different angles, it is not clear how they compare with each other.

**Results:** We comparatively evaluate the three methods; t-based hierarchical modeling, rank products and Fisher's Inverse  $\chi^2$  test with P-values from either the t-based or the rank product method. A simulation study shows that the rank product method, in general, has higher sensitivity and selectivity than the t-based method in both individual and meta-analysis, especially in the setting of small sample size and/or large between-study variation. Not surprisingly, Fisher's  $\chi^2$  method highly depends on the method used in the individual analysis. Application to real datasets demonstrates that meta-analysis achieves more reliable identification than an individual analysis, and rank products are more robust in gene ranking, which leads to a much higher reproducibility among independent studies. Though t-based meta-analysis greatly improves over the individual analysis, it suffers from a potentially large amount of false positives when P-values serve as threshold. We conclude that careful meta-analysis is a powerful tool for integrating multiple array studies.

### *Bayesian meta-analysis models for microarray data: a comparative study*

Erin M Conlon, Joon J Song and Anna Liu

**Background:** With the growing abundance of microarray data, statistical methods are increasingly needed to integrate results across studies. Two common approaches for meta-analysis of microarrays include either combining gene expression measures across studies or combining summaries such as p-values, probabilities or ranks. Here, we compare two Bayesian meta-analysis models that are analogous to these methods.

**Results:** Two Bayesian meta-analysis models for microarray data have recently been introduced. The first model combines standardized gene expression measures across studies into an overall mean, accounting for inter-study variability, while the second combines probabilities of differential expression without combining expression values. Both models produce the gene-specific posterior probability of differential expression, which is the basis for inference. Since the standardized expression integration model includes inter-study variability, it may improve accuracy of results versus the probability integration model. However, due to the small number of studies typical in microarray meta-analyses, the variability between studies is challenging to estimate. The probability integration model eliminates the need to model variability between studies, and thus its implementation is more straightforward. We found in simulations of two and five studies that combining probabilities outperformed combining standardized gene expression measures for three comparison values: the

percent of true discovered genes in meta-analysis versus individual studies; the percent of true genes omitted in meta-analysis versus separate studies, and the number of true discovered genes for fixed levels of Bayesian false discovery. We identified similar results when pooling two independent studies of *Bacillus subtilis*. We assumed that each study was produced from the same microarray platform with only two conditions: a treatment and control, and that the data sets were pre-scaled.

**Conclusion:** The Bayesian meta-analysis model that combines probabilities across studies does not aggregate gene expression measures, thus an inter-study variability parameter is not included in the model. This results in a simpler modeling approach than aggregating expression measures, which accounts for variability across studies. The probability integration model identified more true discovered genes and fewer true omitted genes than combining expression measures, for our data sets.

### ***Can subtle changes in gene expression be consistently detected with different microarray platforms?***

Paola Pedotti, Peter A.C. 't Hoen, Erno Vreugdenhil, Geert J. Schenk, Rolf H.A.M. Vossen, Yavuz Ariyurek, Mattias de Hollander, Rowan Kuiper, Gertjan J.B. van Ommen, Johan T. den Dunnen, Judith M. Boer, Renée X. de Menezes

**Background:** The comparability of gene expression data generated with different microarray platforms is still a matter of concern. Here we address the performance and the overlap in the detection of differentially expressed genes for five different microarray platforms in a challenging biological context where differences in gene expression are few and subtle.

**Results:** Gene expression profiles in the hippocampus of five wild-type and five transgenic  $\delta$ C-doublecortin-like kinase mice were evaluated with five microarray platforms: Applied Biosystems, Affymetrix, Agilent, Illumina, LGTC home-spotted arrays. Using a fixed false discovery rate of 10% we detected surprising differences between the number of differentially expressed genes per platform. Four genes were selected by ABI, 130 by Affymetrix, 3,051 by Agilent, 54 by Illumina, and 13 by LGTC. Two genes were found significantly differentially expressed by all platforms and the four genes identified by the ABI platform were found by at least three other platforms. Quantitative RT-PCR analysis confirmed 20 out of 28 of the genes detected by two or more platforms and 8 out of 15 of the genes detected by Agilent only. We observed improved correlations between platforms when ranking the genes based on the significance level than with a fixed statistical cut-off. We demonstrate significant overlap in the affected gene sets identified by the different platforms, although biological processes were represented by only partially overlapping sets of genes. Aberrances in GABA-ergic signalling in the transgenic mice were consistently found by all platforms.

**Conclusions:** The different microarray platforms give partially complementary views on biological processes affected. Our data indicate that when analyzing samples with only subtle differences in gene expression the use of two different platforms might be more attractive than increasing the number of replicates. Commercial two-color platforms seem to have higher power for finding differentially expressed genes between groups with small differences in expression.

### ***Coexpression Analysis of Human Genes Across Many Microarray Data Sets***

Homin K. Lee, Amy K. Hsu, Jon Sajdak, Jie Qin, and Paul Pavlidis

We present a large-scale analysis of mRNA coexpression based on 60 large human data sets containing a total of 3924 microarrays. We sought pairs of genes that were reliably coexpressed (based on the correlation of their expression profiles) in multiple data sets, establishing a high-confidence network of 8805 genes connected by 220,649 "coexpression links" that are observed in at least three data sets. Confirmed positive correlations between genes were much more common than confirmed negative correlations. We show that confirmation of coexpression in multiple data sets is correlated with functional relatedness, and show how cluster analysis of the network can reveal functionally coherent groups of genes. Our findings demonstrate how the large body of accumulated microarray data can be exploited to increase the reliability of inferences about gene function.

### ***Combining Affymetrix microarray results***

John R Stevens and RW Doerge

**Background:** As the use of microarray technology becomes more prevalent it is not unusual to find several laboratories employing the same microarray technology to identify genes related to the same condition in the same species. Although the experimental specifics are similar, typically a different list of statistically significant genes result from each data analysis.



**Results:** We propose a statistically-based meta-analytic approach to microarray analysis for the purpose of systematically combining results from the different laboratories. This approach provides a more precise view of genes that are significantly related to the condition of interest while simultaneously allowing for differences between laboratories. Of particular interest is the widely used Affymetrix oligonucleotide array, the results of which are naturally suited to a meta-analysis. A simulation model based on the Affymetrix platform is developed to examine the adaptive nature of the meta-analytic approach and to illustrate the usefulness of such an approach in combining microarray results across laboratories. The approach is then applied to real data involving a mouse model for multiple sclerosis.

**Conclusion:** The quantitative estimates from the meta-analysis model tend to be closer to the "true" degree of differential expression than any single lab. Meta-analytic methods can systematically combine Affymetrix results from different laboratories to gain a clearer understanding of genes' relationships to specific conditions of interest.

### ***Joint analysis of two microarray gene-expression data sets to select lung adenocarcinoma marker genes***

Hongying Jiang, Youping Deng, Huann-Sheng Chen, Lin Tao, Qiuying Sha, Jun Chen, Chung-Jui Tsai and Shuanglin Zhang

**Background:** Due to the high cost and low reproducibility of many microarray experiments, it is not surprising to find a limited number of patient samples in each study, and very few common identified marker genes among different studies involving patients with the same disease. Therefore, it is of great interest and challenge to merge data sets from multiple studies to increase the sample size, which may in turn increase the power of statistical inferences. In this study, we combined two lung cancer studies using microarray GeneChip®, employed two gene shaving methods and a two-step survival test to identify genes with expression patterns that can distinguish diseased from normal samples, and to indicate patient survival, respectively.

**Results:** In addition to common data transformation and normalization procedures, we applied a distribution transformation method to integrate the two data sets. Gene shaving (GS) methods based on Random Forests (RF) and Fisher's Linear Discrimination (FLD) were then applied separately to the joint data set for cancer gene selection. The two methods discovered 13 and 10 marker genes (5 in common), respectively, with expression patterns differentiating diseased from normal samples. Among these marker genes, 8 and 7 were found to be cancer-related in other published reports. Furthermore, based on these marker genes, the classifiers we built from one data set predicted the other data set with more than 98% accuracy. Using the univariate Cox proportional hazard regression model, the expression patterns of 36 genes were found to be significantly correlated with patient survival ( $p < 0.05$ ). Twenty-six of these 36 genes were reported as survival-related genes from the literature, including 7 known tumor-suppressor genes and 9 oncogenes. Additional principal component regression analysis further reduced the gene list from 36 to 16.

**Conclusion:** This study provided a valuable method of integrating microarray data sets with different origins, and new methods of selecting a minimum number of marker genes to aid in cancer diagnosis. After careful data integration, the classification method developed from one data set can be applied to the other with high prediction accuracy.

### ***Merging two gene-expression studies via cross-platform normalization***

Andrey A. Shabalina, Håkon Tjelmeland, Cheng Fan, Charles M. Perou and Andrew B. Nobel

**Motivation:** Gene-expression microarrays are currently being applied in a variety of biomedical applications. This article considers the problem of how to merge datasets arising from different gene-expression studies of a common organism and phenotype. Of particular interest is how to merge data from different technological platforms.

**Results:** The article makes two contributions to the problem. The first is a simple cross-study normalization method, which is based on linked gene/sample clustering of the given datasets. The second is the introduction and description of several general validation measures that can be used to assess and compare cross-study normalization methods. The proposed normalization method is applied to three existing breast cancer datasets, and is compared to several competing normalization methods using the proposed validation measures.

### ***Variation in tissue-specific gene expression among natural populations***

Andrew Whitehead and Douglas L Crawford

**Background:** Variation in gene expression is extensive among tissues, individuals, strains, populations and species. The interactions among these sources of variation are relevant for physiological studies such as disease or toxic stress; for example, it is common for pathologies such as cancer, heart failure and metabolic disease to be associated with changes in tissue-specific gene expression or changes in metabolic gene expression. But how conserved these differences are among outbred individuals and among populations has not been well documented. To address this we examined the expression of a selected suite of 192 metabolic genes in brain, heart and liver in three populations of the teleost fish *Fundulus heteroclitus* using a highly replicated experimental design.

**Results:** Half of the genes (48%) were differentially expressed among individuals within a population-tissue group and 76% were differentially expressed among tissues. Differences among tissues reflected well established tissue-specific metabolic requirements, suggesting that these measures of gene expression accurately reflect changes in proteins and their phenotypic effects. Remarkably, only a small subset (31%) of tissue-specific differences was consistent in all three populations.

**Conclusions:** These data indicate that many tissue-specific differences in gene expression are unique to one population and thus are unlikely to contribute to fundamental differences between tissue types. We suggest that those subsets of treatment-specific gene expression patterns that are conserved between taxa are most likely to be functionally related to the physiological state in question.

## Nonparametric tests

### *Nonparametric tests for differential gene expression and interaction effects in multi-factorial microarray experiments*

Xin Gao and Peter XK Song

**Background:** Numerous nonparametric approaches have been proposed in literature to detect differential gene expression in the setting of two user-defined groups. However, there is a lack of nonparametric procedures to analyze microarray data with multiple factors attributing to the gene expression. Furthermore, incorporating interaction effects in the analysis of microarray data has long been of great interest to biological scientists, little of which has been investigated in the nonparametric framework.

**Results:** In this paper, we propose a set of nonparametric tests to detect treatment effects, clinical covariate effects, and interaction effects for multifactorial microarray data. When the distribution of expression data is skewed or heavy-tailed, the rank tests are substantially more powerful than the competing parametric F tests. On the other hand, in the case of light or medium-tailed distributions, the rank tests appear to be marginally less powerful than the parametric competitors.

**Conclusion:** The proposed rank tests enable us to detect differential gene expression and establish interaction effects for microarray data with various non-normally distributed expression measurements across genome. In the presence of outliers, they are advantageous alternative approaches to the existing parametric F tests due to the robustness feature.

### *Ranking analysis of F-statistics for microarray data*

Yuan-De Tan, Myriam Fornage and Hongyan Xu

**Background:** Microarray technology provides an efficient means for globally exploring physiological processes governed by the coordinated expression of multiple genes. However, identification of genes differentially expressed in microarray experiments is challenging because of their potentially high type I error rate. Methods for large-scale statistical analyses have been developed but most of them are applicable to two-sample or two-condition data.

**Results:** We developed a large-scale multiple-group F-test based method, named ranking analysis of F-statistics (RAF), which is an extension of ranking analysis of microarray data (RAM) for two sample t-test. In this method, we proposed a novel random splitting approach to generate the null distribution instead of using permutation, which may not be appropriate for microarray data. We also implemented a two-simulation strategy to estimate the false discovery rate. Simulation results suggested that it has higher efficiency in finding differentially expressed genes among multiple classes at a lower false discovery rate than some commonly used methods. By applying our method to the experimental data, we found 107 genes having significantly differential expressions among 4 treatments at  $<0.7\%$  FDR, of which 31 belong to the expressed sequence tags (ESTs), 76

are unique genes who have known functions in the brain or central nervous system and belong to six major functional groups.

**Conclusion:** Our method is suitable to identify differentially expressed genes among multiple groups, in particular, when sample size is small.

### ***The Baumgartner-Weiß-Schindler test for the detection of differentially expressed genes in replicated microarray experiments***

Markus Neuhäuser and Roswitha Senske

**Motivation:** An important application of microarray experiments is to identify differentially expressed genes. Because microarray data are often not distributed according to a normal distribution nonparametric methods were suggested for their statistical analysis. Here, the Baumgartner-Weiß-Schindler test, a novel and powerful test based on ranks, is investigated and compared with the parametric t-test as well as with two other nonparametric tests (Wilcoxon rank sum test, Fisher-Pitman permutation test) recently recommended for the analysis of gene expression data.

**Results:** Simulation studies show that an exact permutation test based on the Baumgartner-Weiß-Schindler statistic B is preferable to the other three tests. It is less conservative than the Wilcoxon test and more powerful, in particular in case of asymmetric or heavily tailed distributions. When the underlying distribution is symmetric the differences in power between the tests are relatively small. Thus, the Baumgartner-Weiß-Schindler is recommended for the usual situation that the underlying distribution is a priori unknown.

## **Normalization**

### ***An adaptive method for cDNA microarray normalization***

Yingdong Zhao, Ming-Chung Li and Richard Simon

**Background:** Normalization is a critical step in analysis of gene expression profiles. For dual labeled arrays, global normalization assumes that the majority of the genes on the array are nondifferentially expressed between the two channels and that the number of over-expressed genes approximately equals the number of under-expressed genes. These assumptions can be inappropriate for custom arrays or arrays in which the reference RNA is very different from the experimental samples.

**Results:** We propose a mixture model based normalization method that adaptively identifies nondifferentially expressed genes and thereby substantially improves normalization for dual-labeled arrays in settings where the assumptions of global normalization are problematic. The new method is evaluated using both simulated and real data.

**Conclusions:** The new normalization method is effective for general microarray platforms when samples with very different expression profile are co-hybridized and for custom arrays where the majority of genes are likely to be differentially expressed.

### ***Can Zipf's law be adapted to normalize microarrays?***

Tim Lu, Christine M Costello, Peter JP Croucher, Robert Häsler, Günther Deuschl and Stefan Schreiber

**Background:** Normalization is the process of removing non-biological sources of variation between array experiments. Recent investigations of data in gene expression databases for varying organisms and tissues have shown that the majority of expressed genes exhibit a power-law distribution with an exponent close to -1 (i.e. obey Zipf's law). Based on the observation that our single channel and two channel microarray data sets also followed a power-law distribution, we were motivated to develop a normalization method based on this law, and examine how it compares with existing published techniques. A computationally simple and intuitively appealing technique based on this observation is presented.

**Results:** Using pairwise comparisons using MA plots (log ratio vs. log intensity), we compared this novel method to previously published normalization techniques, namely global normalization to the mean, the quantile method, and a variation on the loess normalization method designed specifically for boutique microarrays. Results indicated that, for single channel microarrays, the quantile method was superior with regard to eliminating intensity-dependent effects (banana curves), but Zipf's law normalization does minimize this effect by rotating the data distribution such that the maximal number of data points lie on the zero of the log ratio axis. For two channel boutique microarrays, the Zipf's law normalizations performed as well as, or better than existing

techniques. Conclusion: Zipf's law normalization is a useful tool where the Quantile method cannot be applied, as is the case with microarrays containing functionally specific gene sets (boutique arrays).

### ***Making sense of microarray data distributions***

David C. Hoyle, Magnus Rattray, Ray Jupp and Andrew Brass

**Motivation:** Typical analysis of microarray data has focused on spot by spot comparisons within a single organism. Less analysis has been done on the comparison of the entire distribution of spot intensities between experiments and between organisms.

**Results:** Here we show that mANA transcription data from a wide range of organisms and measured with a range of experimental platforms show close agreement with Benford's law (Benford, *Proc. Am. Phil. Soc.*, **78**, 551-572, 1938) and Zipf's law (Zipf, *The Psycho-biology of Language: an Introduction to Dynamic Philology*, 1936 and *Human Behaviour and the Principle of Least Effort*, 1949). The distribution of the bulk of microarray spot intensities is well approximated by a log-normal with the tail of the distribution being closer to power law. The variance,  $\sigma^2$ , of log spot intensity shows a positive correlation with genome size (in terms of number of genes) and is therefore relatively fixed within some range for a given organism. The measured value of  $\sigma^2$  can be significantly smaller than the expected value if the mANA is extracted from a sample of mixed cell types. Our research demonstrates that useful biological findings may result from analyzing microarray data at the level of entire intensity distributions.

### ***Normalization of single-channel DNA array data by principal component analysis***

Radka Stoyanova, Troy D. Querec, Truman R. Brown and Christos Patriotis

**Motivation:** Detailed comparison and analysis of the output of DNA gene expression arrays from multiple samples require global normalization of the measured individual gene intensities from the different hybridizations. This is needed for accounting for variations in array preparation and sample hybridization conditions.

**Results:** Here, we present a simple, robust and accurate procedure for the global normalization of datasets generated with single-channel DNA arrays based on principal component analysis. The procedure makes minimal assumptions about the data and performs well in cases where other standard procedures produced biased estimates. It is also insensitive to data transformation, filtering (thresholding) and pre-screening.

### ***Reuse of imputed data in microarray analysis increases imputation efficiency***

Ki-Yeol Kim, Byoung-Jin Kim and Gwan-Su Yi

**Background:** The imputation of missing values is necessary for the efficient use of DNA microarray data, because many clustering algorithms and some statistical analysis require a complete data set. A few imputation methods for DNA microarray data have been introduced, but the efficiency of the methods was low and the validity of imputed values in these methods had not been fully checked.

**Results:** We developed a new cluster-based imputation method called sequential K-nearest neighbor (SKNN) method. This imputes the missing values sequentially from the gene having least missing values, and uses the imputed values for the later imputation. Although it uses the imputed values, the efficiency of this new method is greatly improved in its accuracy and computational complexity over the conventional KNN-based method and other methods based on maximum likelihood estimation. The performance of SKNN was in particular higher than other imputation methods for the data with high missing rates and large number of experiments.

Application of Expectation Maximization (EM) to the SKNN method improved the accuracy, but increased computational time proportional to the number of iterations. The Multiple Imputation (MI) method, which is well known but not applied previously to microarray data, showed a similarly high accuracy as the SKNN method, with slightly higher dependency on the types of data sets.

**Conclusions:** Sequential reuse of imputed data in KNN-based imputation greatly increases the efficiency of imputation. The SKNN method should be practically useful to save the data of some microarray experiments which have high amounts of missing entries. The SKNN method generates reliable imputed values which can be used for further cluster-based analysis of microarray data.

### ***Selection and validation of normalization methods for c-DNA microarrays using within-array replications***

Jianqing Fan and Yue Niu

**Motivation:** Normalization of microarray data is essential for multiple-array analyses. Several normalization protocols have been proposed based on different biological or statistical assumptions. A fundamental problem arises whether they have effectively normalized arrays. In addition, for a given array, the question arises how to choose a method to most effectively normalize the microarray data.

**Results:** We propose several techniques to compare the effectiveness of different normalization methods. We approach the problem by constructing statistics to test whether there are any systematic biases in the expression profiles among duplicated spots within an array. The test statistics involve estimating the genewise variances. This is accomplished by using several novel methods, including empirical Bayes methods for moderating the genewise variances and the smoothing methods for aggregating variance information. P-values are estimated based on a normal or  $\chi$  approximation. With estimated P-values, we can choose a most appropriate method to normalize a specific array and assess the extent to which the systematic biases due to the variations of experimental conditions have been removed. The effectiveness and validity of the proposed methods are convincingly illustrated by a carefully designed simulation study. The method is further illustrated by an application to human placenta cDNAs comprising a large number of clones with replications, a customized microarray experiment carrying just a few hundred genes on the study of the molecular roles of Interferons on tumor, and the Agilent microarrays carrying tens of thousands of total RNA samples in the MAQC project on the study of reproducibility, sensitivity and specificity of the data.

### ***Three-parameter lognormal distribution ubiquitously found in cDNA microarray data and its application to parametric data treatment***

Tomokazu Konishi

**Background:** To cancel experimental variations, microarray data must be normalized prior to analysis. Where an appropriate model for statistical data distribution is available, a parametric method can normalize a group of data sets that have common distributions. Although such models have been proposed for microarray data, they have not always fit the distribution of real data and thus have been inappropriate for normalization. Consequently, microarray data in most cases have been normalized with non-parametric methods that adjust data in a pair-wise manner. However, data analysis and the integration of resultant knowledge among experiments have been difficult, since such normalization concepts lack a universal standard.

**Results:** A three-parameter lognormal distribution model was tested on over 300 sets of microarray data. The model treats the hybridization background, which is difficult to identify from images of hybridization, as one of the parameters. A rigorous coincidence of the model to data sets was found, proving the model's appropriateness for microarray data. In fact, a closer fitting to Northern analysis was obtained. The model showed inconsistency only at very strong or weak data intensities. Measurement of z-scores as well as calculated ratios was reproducible only among data in the model-consistent intensity range; also, the ratios were independent of signal intensity at the corresponding range.

**Conclusion:** The model could provide a universal standard for data, simplifying data analysis and knowledge integration. It was deduced that the ranges of inconsistency were caused by experimental errors or additive noise in the data; therefore, excluding the data corresponding to those marginal ranges will prevent misleading analytical conclusions.

### ***Using Generalized Procrustes Analysis (GPA) for normalization of cDNA microarray data***

Huiling Xiong, Dapeng Zhang, Christopher J Martyniuk, Vance L Trudeau and Xuhua Xia

**Background:** Normalization is essential in dual-labelled microarray data analysis to remove nonbiological variations and systematic biases. Many normalization methods have been used to remove such biases within slides (Global, Lowess) and across slides (Scale, Quantile and VSN). However, all these popular approaches have critical assumptions about data distribution, which is often not valid in practice.

**Results:** In this study, we propose a novel assumption-free normalization method based on the Generalized Procrustes Analysis (GPA) algorithm. Using experimental and simulated normal microarray data and boutique array data, we systemically evaluate the ability of the GPA method in normalization compared with six other popular normalization methods including Global, Lowess, Scale, Quantile, VSN, and one boutique array-specific housekeeping gene method. The assessment of these methods is based on three different empirical criteria: across-slide variability, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) statistic and the mean square error (MSE). Compared with other methods, the GPA method performs effectively and consistently better in reducing across-slide variability and removing systematic bias.

**Conclusion:** The GPA method is an effective normalization approach for microarray data analysis. In particular, it is free from the statistical and biological assumptions inherent in other normalization methods that are often difficult to validate. Therefore, the GPA method has a major advantage in that it can be applied to diverse types of array sets, especially to the boutique array where the majority of genes may be differentially expressed.

## Pooling mRNA

### *Biases induced by pooling samples in microarray experiments*

Tristan Mary-Huard, Jean-Jacques Daudin, Michela Baccini, Annibale Biggeri and Avner Bar-Hen

**Motivation:** If there is insufficient RNA from the tissues under investigation from one organism, then it is common practice to pool RNA. An important question is to determine whether pooling introduces biases, which can lead to inaccurate results. In this article, we describe two biases related to pooling, from a theoretical as well as a practical point of view.

**Results:** We model and quantify the respective parts of the pooling bias due to the log transform as well as the bias due to biological averaging of the samples. We also evaluate the impact of the bias on the statistical differential analysis of Affymetrix data.

### *Effect of pooling samples on the efficiency of comparative studies using microarrays*

Shu-Dong Zhang and Timothy W. Gant

**Motivation:** Many biomedical experiments are carried out by pooling individual biological samples. However, pooling samples can potentially hide biological variance and give false confidence concerning the data significance. In the context of microarray experiments for detecting differentially expressed genes, recent publications have addressed the problem of the efficiency of sample pooling, and some approximate formulas were provided for the power and sample size calculations. It is desirable to have exact formulas for these calculations and have the approximate results checked against the exact ones. We show that the difference between the approximate and the exact results can be large.

**Results:** In this study, we have characterized quantitatively the effect of pooling samples on the efficiency of microarray experiments for the detection of differential gene expression between two classes. We present exact formulas for calculating the power of microarray experimental designs involving sample pooling and technical replications. The formulas can be used to determine the total number of arrays and biological subjects required in an experiment to achieve the desired power at a given significance level. The conditions under which pooled design becomes preferable to non-pooled design can then be derived given the unit cost associated with a microarray and that with a biological subject. This paper thus serves to provide guidance on sample pooling and cost-effectiveness. The formulation in this paper is outlined in the context of performing microarray comparative studies, but its applicability is not limited to microarray experiments. It is also applicable to a wide range of biomedical comparative studies where sample pooling may be involved.

### *Pooling mRNA in microarray experiments and its effect on power*

Wuyan Zhang, Alicia Carriquiry, Dan Nettleton and Jack C.M. Dekkers

**Motivation:** Microarrays can simultaneously measure the expression levels of many genes and are widely applied to study complex biological problems at the genetic level. To contain costs, instead of obtaining a microarray on each individual, mRNA from several subjects can be first pooled and then measured with a single array. mRNA pooling is also necessary when there is not enough mRNA from each subject. Several studies have investigated the impact of pooling mRNA on inferences about gene expression, but have typically modeled the process of pooling as if it occurred in some transformed scale. This assumption is unrealistic.

**Results:** We propose modeling the gene expression levels in a pool as a weighted average of mRNA expression of all individuals in the pool on the original measurement scale, where the weights correspond to individual sample contributions to the pool. Based on these improved statistical models, we develop the appropriate F statistics to test for differentially expressed genes. We present formulae to calculate the power of various statistical tests under different strategies for pooling mRNA and compare resulting power estimates to those that would be obtained by following the approach proposed by Kendziorski et al. (2003). We find that the Kendziorski estimate tends to exceed true power and that the estimate we propose, while somewhat conservative, is less biased. We argue that it is possible to design a study that includes mRNA pooling at a significantly reduced cost but with little loss of information.

### ***Statistical implications of pooling RNA samples for microarray experiments***

Xuejun Peng, Constance L Wood, Eric M Blalock, Kuey Chu Chen, Philip W Landfield and Arnold J Stromberg

**Background:** Microarray technology has become a very important tool for studying gene expression profiles under various conditions. Biologists often pool RNA samples extracted from different subjects onto a single microarray chip to help defray the cost of microarray experiments as well as to correct for the technical difficulty in getting sufficient RNA from a single subject. However, the statistical, technical and financial implications of pooling have not been explicitly investigated.

**Results:** Modeling the resulting gene expression from sample pooling as a mixture of individual responses, we derived expressions for the experimental error and provided both upper and lower bounds for its value in terms of the variability among individuals and the number of RNA samples pooled. Using "virtual" pooling of data from real experiments and computer simulations, we investigated the statistical properties of RNA sample pooling. Our study reveals that pooling biological samples appropriately is statistically valid and efficient for microarray experiments. Furthermore, optimal pooling design(s) can be found to meet statistical requirements while minimizing total cost.

**Conclusions:** Appropriate RNA pooling can provide equivalent power and improve efficiency and cost-effectiveness for microarray experiments with a modest increase in total number of subjects. Pooling schemes in terms of replicates of subjects and arrays can be compared before experiments are conducted.

## **Quality control of microarrays**

### ***A Bayesian missing value estimation method for gene expression profile data***

Shigeyuki Oba, Masa-aki Sato, Ichiro Takemasa, Morito Monden, Ken-ichi Matsubara and Shin Ishii

**Motivation:** Gene expression profile analyses have been used in numerous studies covering a broad range of areas in biology. When unreliable measurements are excluded, missing values are introduced in gene expression profiles. Although existing multivariate analysis methods have difficulty with the treatment of missing values, this problem has received little attention. There are many options for dealing with missing values, each of which reaches drastically different results. Ignoring missing values is the simplest method and is frequently applied. This approach, however, has its flaws. In this article, we propose an estimation method for missing values, which is based on Bayesian principal component analysis (BPCA). Although the methodology that a probabilistic model and latent variables are estimated simultaneously within the framework of Bayes inference is not new in principle, actual BPCA implementation that makes it possible to estimate arbitrary missing variables is new in terms of statistical methodology.

**Results:** When applied to DNA microarray data from various experimental conditions, the BPCA method exhibited markedly better estimation ability than other recently proposed methods, such as singular value decomposition and K-nearest neighbors. While the estimation performance of existing methods depends on model parameters whose determination is difficult, our BPCA method is free from this difficulty. Accordingly, the BPCA method provides accurate and convenient estimation for missing values.

### ***A comparison of background correction methods for two-colour microarrays***

Matthew E. Ritchie, Jeremy Silver, Alicia Oshlack, Melissa Holmes, Dileepa Diyagama, Andrew Holloway and Gordon K. Smyth

**Motivation:** Microarray data must be background corrected to remove the effects of non-specific binding or spatial heterogeneity across the array, but this practice typically causes other problems such as negative corrected intensities and high variability of low intensity log-ratios. Different estimators of background, and various model-based processing methods, are compared in this study in search of the best option for differential expression analyses of small microarray experiments.

**Results:** Using data where some independent truth in gene expression is known, eight different background correction alternatives are compared, in terms of precision and bias of the resulting gene expression measures, and in terms of their ability to detect differentially expressed genes as judged by two popular algorithms, SAM and limma eBayes. A new background processing method (normexp) is introduced which is based on a convolution model. The model-based correction methods are shown to be markedly superior to the usual practice of subtracting local background estimates. Methods which stabilize the variances of the log-ratios along the intensity range perform the best. The normexp+offset method is found to give the lowest false discovery rate

overall, followed by morph and vsn. Like vsn, normexp is applicable to most types of two-colour microarray data.

### ***A novel approach for increasing sensitivity and correcting saturation artifacts of radioactively labeled cDNA arrays***

Troy D. Querec, Radka Stoyanova, Eric Ross and Christos Patriotis

**Motivation:** The radioactivity labeled DNA array platform is a robust and accurate way for a high-throughput measurement of gene expression levels in biological samples. Despite its high degree of sensitivity and reproducibility, this platform has several sources of variation. These are related to the presence of saturation effects in the array images and impede the degree of accuracy at which gene expression levels are determined.

**Results:** Here we describe a simple, but effective, approach for combining expression data from a series of autoradiographic exposures of variable length. This technique increases the sensitivity of this array platform by detecting low-expressed genes at longer exposures. It also improves the measurement accuracy of highly abundant genes by considering only values from the linear portion of dependency between the exposure times and gene intensities. As a result, the described approach improves the outcome of the subsequent steps of array data normalization and mining.

### ***Combining signals from spotted cDNA microarrays obtained at different scanning intensities***

H. P. Piepho, B. Keller, N. Hoecker and F. Hochholdinger

**Motivation:** The analysis of spotted cDNA microarrays involves scanning of color signals from fluorescent dyes. A common problem is that a given scanning intensity is not usually optimal for all spotted cDNAs. Specifically, some spots may be at the saturation limit, resulting in poor separation of signals from different tissues or conditions. The problem may be addressed by multiple scans with varying scanning intensities. Multiple scanning intensities raise the question of how to combine different signals from the same spot, particularly when measurement error is not negligible.

**Results:** This paper suggests a non-linear latent regression model for this purpose. It corrects for biases caused by the saturation limit and efficiently combines data from multiple scans. Combining multiple scans also allows reduction of technical error particularly for cDNA spots with low signal. The procedure is exemplified using cDNA expression data from maize.

### ***Comparing transformation methods for DNA microarray data***

Helene H Thygesen and Aeilko H Zwinderman

**Background:** When DNA microarray data are used for gene clustering, genotype/phenotype correlation studies, or tissue classification the signal intensities are usually transformed and normalized in several steps in order to improve comparability and signal/noise ratio. These steps may include subtraction of an estimated background signal, subtracting the reference signal, smoothing (to account for nonlinear measurement effects), and more. Different authors use different approaches, and it is generally not clear to users which method they should prefer.

**Results:** We used the ratio between biological variance and measurement variance (which is an Flike statistic) as a quality measure for transformation methods, and we demonstrate a method for maximizing that variance ratio on real data. We explore a number of transformations issues, including Box-Cox transformation, baseline shift, partial subtraction of the log-reference signal and smoothing. It appears that the optimal choice of parameters for the transformation methods depends on the data. Further, the behavior of the variance ratio, under the null hypothesis of zero biological variance, appears to depend on the choice of parameters.

**Conclusions:** The use of replicates in microarray experiments is important. Adjustment for the null-hypothesis behavior of the variance ratio is critical to the selection of transformation method.

### ***Correcting for gene-specific dye bias in DNA microarrays using the method of maximum likelihood***

Ryan Kelley, Hoda Feizi and Trey Ideker

**Motivation:** In two-color microarray experiments, well-known differences exist in the labeling and hybridization efficiency of Cy3 and Cy5 dyes. Previous reports have revealed that these differences can vary on a gene-by-gene basis, an effect termed gene-specific dye bias. If uncorrected, this bias can influence the determination of differentially expressed genes.



**Results:** We show that the magnitude of the bias scales multiplicatively with signal intensity and is dependent on which nucleotide has been conjugated to the fluorescent dye. A method is proposed to account for gene-specific dye bias within a maximum-likelihood error modeling framework. Using two different labeling schemes, we show that correcting for gene-specific dye bias results in the superior identification of differentially expressed genes within this framework. Improvement is also possible in related ANOVA approaches.

### ***Gaussian mixture clustering and imputation of microarray data***

Ming Ouyang, William J. Welsh and Panos Georgopoulos

**Motivation:** In microarray experiments, missing entries arise from blemishes on the chips. In large-scale studies, virtually every chip contains some missing entries and more than 90% of the genes are affected. Many analysis methods require a full set of data. Either those genes with missing entries are excluded, or the missing entries are filled with estimates prior to the analyses. This study compares methods of missing value estimation.

**Results:** Two evaluation metrics of imputation accuracy are employed. First, the root mean squared error measures the difference between the true values and the imputed values. Second, the number of mis-clustered genes measures the difference between clustering with true values and that with imputed values; it examines the bias introduced by imputation to clustering. The Gaussian mixture clustering with model averaging imputation is superior to all other imputation methods, according to both evaluation metrics, on both time-series (correlated) and non-time series (uncorrelated) data sets.

### ***Microarray image analysis: background estimation using quantile and morphological filters***

Anders Bengtsson and Henrik Bengtsson

**Background:** In a microarray experiment the difference in expression between genes on the same slide is up to  $10^3$  fold or more. At low expression, even a small error in the estimate will have great influence on the final test and reference ratios. In addition to the true spot intensity the scanned signal consists of different kinds of noise referred to as background. In order to assess the true spot intensity background must be subtracted. The standard approach to estimate background intensities is to assume they are equal to the intensity levels between spots. In the literature, morphological opening is suggested to be one of the best methods for estimating background this way.

**Results:** This paper examines fundamental properties of rank and quantile filters, which include morphological filters at the extremes, with focus on their ability to estimate between-spot intensity levels. The bias and variance of these filter estimates are driven by the number of background pixels used and their distributions. A new rank-filter algorithm is implemented and compared to methods available in Spot by CSIRO and GenePix Pro by Axon Instruments. Spot's morphological opening has a mean bias between -47 and -248 compared to a bias between 2 and -2 for the rank filter and the variability of the morphological opening estimate is 3 times higher than for the rank filter. The mean bias of Spot's second method, morph.close.open, is between -5 and -16 and the variability is approximately the same as for morphological opening. The variability of GenePix Pro's region based estimate is more than ten times higher than the variability of the rank-filter estimate and with slightly more bias. The large variability is because the size of the background window changes with spot size. To overcome this, a non-adaptive region-based method is implemented. Its bias and variability are comparable to that of the rank filter.

**Conclusion:** The performance of more advanced rank filters is equal to the best region-based methods. However, in order to get unbiased estimates these filters have to be implemented with great care. The performance of morphological opening is in general poor with a substantial spatial dependent bias.

### ***Missing-value estimation using linear and non-linear regression with Bayesian gene selection***

Xiaobo Zhou, Xiaodong Wang and Edward R. Dougherty

**Motivation:** Data from microarray experiments are usually in the form of large matrices of expression levels of genes under different experimental conditions. Owing to various reasons, there are frequently missing values. Estimating these missing values is important because they affect downstream analysis, such as clustering, classification and network design. Several methods of missing-value estimation are in use. The problem has two parts: (1) selection of genes for estimation and (2) design of an estimation rule.

**Results:** We propose Bayesian variable selection to obtain genes to be used for estimation, and employ both linear and nonlinear regression for the estimation rule itself. Fast implementation issues for these methods are discussed, including the use of QR decomposition for parameter estimation. The proposed methods are tested on data sets arising from hereditary breast cancer and small round blue-cell tumors. The results compare very favorably with currently used methods based on the normalized root-mean-square error.

**Quality assessment of microarrays: Visualization of spatial artifacts and quantitation of regional biases**

Mark Reimers and John N Weinstein

**Background:** Quality-control is an important issue in the analysis of gene expression microarrays. One type of problem is regional bias, in which one region of a chip shows artifactually high or low intensities (or ratios in a two-channel array) relative to the majority of the chip. Current practice in quality assessment for microarrays does not address regional biases.

**Results:** We present methods implemented in R for visualizing regional biases and other spatial artifacts on spotted microarrays and Affymetrix chips. We also propose a statistical index to quantify regional bias and investigate its typical distribution on spotted and Affymetrix arrays. We demonstrate that notable regional biases occur on both Affymetrix and spotted arrays and that they can make a significant difference in the case of spotted microarray results. Although strong biases are also seen at the level of individual probes on Affymetrix chips, the gene expression measures are less affected, especially when the RMA method is used to summarize intensities for the probe sets. A web application program for visualization and quantitation of regional bias is provided at <http://www.discover.nci.nih.gov/affytools>.

**Conclusion:** Researchers should visualize and measure the regional biases and should estimate their impact on gene expression measurements obtained. Here, we (i) introduce pictorial visualizations of the spatial biases; (ii) present for Affymetrix chips a useful resolution of the biases into two components, one related to background, the other to intensity scale factor; (iii) introduce a single parameter to reflect the global bias present across an array. We also examine the pattern distribution of such biases and conclude that algorithms based on smoothing are unlikely to compensate adequately for them.

**Quality determination and the repair of poor quality spots in array experiments**

Brian DM Tom, Walter R Gilks, Elizabeth T Brooke-Powell and James W Ajioka

**Background:** A common feature of microarray experiments is the occurrence of missing gene expression data. These missing values occur for a variety of reasons, in particular, because of the filtering of poor quality spots and the removal of undefined values when a logarithmic transformation is applied to negative background-corrected intensities. The efficiency and power of an analysis performed can be substantially reduced by having an incomplete matrix of gene intensities. Additionally, most statistical methods require a complete intensity matrix. Furthermore, biases may be introduced into analyses through missing information on some genes. Thus methods for appropriately replacing (imputing) missing data and/or weighting poor quality spots are required.

**Results:** We present a likelihood-based method for imputing missing data or weighting poor quality spots that requires a number of biological or technical replicates. This likelihood-based approach assumes that the data for a given spot arising from each channel of a two-dye (two channel) cDNA microarray comparison experiment independently come from a three-component mixture distribution – the parameters of which are estimated through use of a constrained E-M algorithm. Posterior probabilities of belonging to each component of the mixture distributions are calculated and used to decide whether imputation is required. These posterior probabilities may also be used to construct quality weights that can down-weight poor quality spots in any analysis performed afterwards. The approach is illustrated using data obtained from an experiment to observe gene expression changes with 24 hr paclitaxel (Taxol®) treatment on a human cervical cancer derived cell line (HeLa).

**Conclusion:** As the quality of microarray experiments affect downstream processes, it is important to have a reliable and automatic method of identifying poor quality spots and arrays. We propose a method of identifying poor quality spots, and suggest a method of repairing the arrays by either imputation or assigning quality weights to the spots. This repaired data set would be less biased and can be analysed using any of the appropriate statistical methods found in the microarray literature.

**Profound influence of microarray scanner characteristics on gene expression ratios: analysis and procedure for correction**

Heidi Lyng, Azadeh Badiie, Debbie H Svendsrud, Eivind Hovig, Ola Myklebost and Trond Stokke

**Background:** High throughput gene expression data from spotted cDNA microarrays are collected by scanning the signal intensities of the corresponding spots by dedicated fluorescence scanners. The major scanner settings for increasing the spot intensities are the laser power and the voltage of the photomultiplier tube (PMT). It is required that the expression ratios are independent of these settings. We have investigated the relationships

between PMT voltage, spot intensities, and expression ratios for different scanners, in order to define an optimal scanning procedure.

**Results:** All scanners showed a limited intensity range from 200 to 50 000 (mean spot intensity), for which the expression ratios were independent of PMT voltage. This usable intensity range was considerably less than the maximum detection range of the PMTs. The use of spot and background intensities outside this range led to errors in the ratios. The errors at high intensities were caused by saturation of pixel intensities within the spots. An algorithm was developed to correct the intensities of these spots, and, hence, extend the upper limit of the usable intensity range.

**Conclusions:** It is suggested that the PMT voltage should be increased to avoid intensities of the weakest spots below the usable range, allowing the brightest spots to reach the level of saturation. Subsequently, a second set of images should be acquired with a lower PMT setting such that no pixels are in saturation. Reliable data for spots with saturation in the first set of images can easily be extracted from the second set of images by the use of our algorithm. This procedure would lead to an increase in the accuracy of the data and in the number of data points achieved in each experiment compared to traditional procedures.

### ***Scanning microarrays at multiple intensities enhances discovery of differentially expressed genes***

David S. Skibbe, Xiujuan Wang, Xuefeng Zhao, Lisa A. Borsuk, Dan Nettleton and Patrick S. Schnable

**Motivation:** Scanning parameters are often overlooked when optimizing microarray experiments. A scanning approach that extends the dynamic data range by acquiring multiple scans of different intensities has been developed.

**Results:** Data from each of three scan intensities (low, medium, high) were analyzed separately using multiple scan and linear regression approaches to identify and compare the sets of genes that exhibit statistically significant differential expression. In the multiple scan approach only one-third of the differentially expressed genes were shared among the three intensities, and each scan intensity identified unique sets of differentially expressed genes. The set of differentially expressed genes from any one scan amounted to <70% of the total number of genes identified in at least one scan. The average signal intensity of genes that exhibited statistically significant changes in expression was highest for the low-intensity scan and lowest for the high-intensity scan, suggesting that low-intensity scans may be best for detecting expression differences in high-signal genes, while high-intensity scans may be best for detecting expression differences in low-signal genes. Comparison of the differentially expressed genes identified in the multiple scan and linear regression approaches revealed that the multiple scan approach effectively identifies a subset of statistically significant genes that linear regression approach is unable to identify. Quantitative RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) tests demonstrated that statistically significant differences identified at all three scan intensities can be verified.

### ***Statistical estimation of gene expression using multiple laser scans of microarrays***

Mizanur R. Khondoker, Chris A. Glasbey and Bruce J. Worton

We propose a statistical model for estimating gene expression using data from multiple laser scans at different settings of hybridized microarrays. A functional regression model is used, based on a non-linear relationship with both additive and multiplicative error terms. The function is derived as the expected value of a pixel, given that values are censored at 65 535, the maximum detectable intensity for double precision scanning software. Maximum likelihood estimation based on a Cauchy distribution is used to fit the model, which is able to estimate gene expressions taking account of outliers and the systematic bias caused by signal censoring of highly expressed genes. We have applied the method to experimental data. Simulation studies suggest that the model can estimate the true gene expression with negligible bias.

## **Quantitative real-time PCR**

### ***Model based analysis of real-time PCR data from DNA binding dye protocols***

Mariano J Alvarez, Guillermo J Vila-Ortiz, Mariano C Salibe, Osvaldo L Podhajcer and Fernando J Pitossi

**Background:** Reverse transcription followed by real-time PCR is widely used for quantification of specific mRNA, and with the use of double-stranded DNA binding dyes it is becoming a standard for microarray data validation. Despite the kinetic information generated by real-time PCR, most popular analysis methods assume constant amplification efficiency among samples, introducing strong biases when amplification efficiencies are not the same.

**Results:** We present here a new mathematical model based on the classic exponential description of the PCR, but modeling amplification efficiency as a sigmoidal function of the product yield. The model was validated with experimental results and used for the development of a new method for real-time PCR data analysis. This model based method for real-time PCR data analysis showed the best accuracy and precision compared with previous methods when used for quantification of in silico generated and experimental real-time PCR results. Moreover, the method is suitable for the analyses of samples with similar or dissimilar amplification efficiency.

**Conclusion:** The presented method showed the best accuracy and precision. Moreover, it does not depend on calibration curves, making it ideal for fully automated high-throughput applications.

### ***Simultaneous fitting of real-time PCR data with efficiency of amplification modeled as Gaussian function of target fluorescence***

Anke Batsch, Andrea Noetel, Christian Fork, Anita Urban, Daliborka Lazic, Tina Lucas, Julia Pietsch, Andreas Lazar, Edgar Schömig and Dirk Gründemann

**Background:** In real-time PCR, it is necessary to consider the efficiency of amplification (EA) of amplicons in order to determine initial target levels properly. EAs can be deduced from standard curves, but these involve extra effort and cost and may yield invalid EAs. Alternatively, EA can be extracted from individual fluorescence curves. Unfortunately, this is not reliable enough.

**Results:** Here we introduce simultaneous non-linear fitting to determine – without standard curves – an optimal common EA for all samples of a group. In order to adjust EA as a function of target fluorescence, and still to describe fluorescence as a function of cycle number, we use an iterative algorithm that increases fluorescence cycle by cycle and thus simulates the PCR process. A Gauss peak function is used to model the decrease of EA with increasing amplicon accumulation. Our approach was validated experimentally with hydrolysis probe or SYBR green detection with dilution series of 5 different targets. It performed distinctly better in terms of accuracy than standard curve, DART-PCR, and LinRegPCR approaches. Based on reliable EAs, it was possible to detect that for some amplicons, extraordinary fluorescence (EA > 2.00) was generated with locked nucleic acid hydrolysis probes, but not with SYBR green.

**Conclusion:** In comparison to previously reported approaches that are based on the separate analysis of each curve and on modelling EA as a function of cycle number, our approach yields more accurate and precise estimates of relative initial target levels.

### ***Statistical analysis of real-time PCR data***

Joshua S Yuan, Ann Reed, Feng Chen and C Neal Stewart Jr

**Background:** Even though real-time PCR has been broadly applied in biomedical sciences, data processing procedures for the analysis of quantitative real-time PCR are still lacking; specifically in the realm of appropriate statistical treatment. Confidence interval and statistical significance considerations are not explicit in many of the current data analysis approaches. Based on the standard curve method and other useful data analysis methods, we present and compare four statistical approaches and models for the analysis of real-time PCR data.

**Results:** In the first approach, a multiple regression analysis model was developed to derive  $\Delta\Delta C_t$  from estimation of interaction of gene and treatment effects. In the second approach, an ANCOVA (analysis of covariance) model was proposed, and the  $\Delta\Delta C_t$  can be derived from analysis of effects of variables. The other two models involve calculation  $\Delta C_t$  followed by a two group t-test and nonparametric analogous Wilcoxon test. SAS programs were developed for all four models and data output for analysis of a sample set are presented. In addition, a data quality control model was developed and implemented using SAS.

**Conclusion:** Practical statistical solutions with SAS programs were developed for real-time PCR data and a sample dataset was analyzed with the SAS programs. The analysis using the various models and programs yielded similar results. Data quality control and analysis procedures presented here provide statistical elements for the estimation of the relative expression of genes using real-time PCR.

### ***Statistical significance of quantitative PCR***

Yann Karlen, Alan McNair, Sébastien Perseguers, Christian Mazza and Nicolas Mermod

**Background:** PCR has the potential to detect and precisely quantify specific DNA sequences, but it is not yet often used as a fully quantitative method. A number of data collection and processing strategies have been described for the implementation of quantitative PCR. However, they can be experimentally cumbersome, their relative performances have not been evaluated systematically, and they often remain poorly validated

statistically and/or experimentally. In this study, we evaluated the performance of known methods, and compared them with newly developed data processing strategies in terms of resolution, precision and robustness.

**Results:** Our results indicate that simple methods that do not rely on the estimation of the efficiency of the PCR amplification may provide reproducible and sensitive data, but that they do not quantify DNA with precision. Other evaluated methods based on sigmoidal or exponential curve fitting were generally of both poor resolution and precision. A statistical analysis of the parameters that influence efficiency indicated that it depends mostly on the selected amplicon and to a lesser extent on the particular biological sample analyzed. Thus, we devised various strategies based on individual or averaged efficiency values, which were used to assess the regulated expression of several genes in response to a growth factor.

**Conclusion:** Overall, qPCR data analysis methods differ significantly in their performance, and this analysis identifies methods that provide DNA quantification estimates of high precision, robustness and reliability. These methods allow reliable estimations of relative expression ratio of two-fold or higher, and our analysis provides an estimation of the number of biological samples that have to be analyzed to achieve a given precision.

## Time series

### *Analyzing time series gene expression data*

Ziv Bar-Joseph

**Motivation:** Time series expression experiments are an increasingly popular method for studying a wide range of biological systems. However, when analyzing these experiments researchers face many new computational challenges. Algorithms that are specifically designed for time series experiments are required so that we can take advantage of their unique features (such as the ability to infer causality from the temporal response pattern) and address the unique problems they raise (e.g. handling the different non-uniform sampling rates).

**Results:** We present a comprehensive review of the current research in time series expression data analysis. We divide the computational challenges into four analysis levels: experimental design, data analysis, pattern recognition and networks. For each of these levels, we discuss computational and biological problems at that level and point out some of the methods that have been proposed to deal with these issues. Many open problems in all these levels are discussed. This review is intended to serve as both, a point of reference for experimental biologists looking for practical solutions for analyzing their data, and a starting point for computer scientists interested in working on the computational problems related to time series expression analysis.

### *Are we overestimating the number of cell-cycling genes? The impact of background models on time-series analysis*

Matthias E. Futschik and Hanspeter Herzel

**Motivation:** Periodic processes play fundamental roles in organisms. Prominent examples are the cell cycle and the circadian clock. Microarray array technology has enabled us to screen complete sets of transcripts for possible association with such fundamental periodic processes on a system-wide level. Frequently, quite large numbers of genes have been detected as periodically expressed. However, the small overlap between genes identified in different studies has cast some doubts on the reliability of the periodic expression detected.

**Results:** In this study, comparative analysis suggests that the lacking agreement between different cell-cycle studies might be due to inadequate background models for the determination of significance. We demonstrate that the choice of background model has considerable impact on the statistical significance of periodic expression. For illustration, we reanalyzed two microarray studies of the yeast cell cycle. Our evaluation strongly indicates that the results of previous analyses might have been overoptimistic and that the use of more suitable background model promises to give more realistic results.

### *Difference-based clustering of short time-course microarray data with replicates*

Jihoon Kim and Ju Han Kim

**Background:** There are some limitations associated with conventional clustering methods for short time-course gene expression data. The current algorithms require prior domain knowledge and do not incorporate information from replicates. Moreover, the results are not always easy to interpret biologically.

**Results:** We propose a novel algorithm for identifying a subset of genes sharing a significant temporal expression pattern when replicates are used. Our algorithm requires no prior knowledge, instead relying on an

observed statistic which is based on the first and second order differences between adjacent time-points. Here, a pattern is predefined as the sequence of symbols indicating direction and the rate of change between time-points, and each gene is assigned to a cluster whose members share a similar pattern. We evaluated the performance of our algorithm to those of K-means, Self-Organizing Map and the Short Time-series Expression Miner methods.

**Conclusions:** Assessments using simulated and real data show that our method outperformed aforementioned algorithms. Our approach is an appropriate solution for clustering short timecourse microarray data with replicates.

### ***Fundamental patterns underlying gene expression profiles: Simplicity from complexity***

Neal S. Holter, Madhusmita Mitra, Amos Maritan, Marek Cieplak, Jayanth R. Banavar and Nina V. Fedoroff

Analysis of previously published sets of DNA microarray gene expression data by singular value decomposition has uncovered underlying patterns or “characteristic modes” in their temporal profiles. These patterns contribute unequally to the structure of the expression profiles. Moreover, the essential features of a given set of expression profiles are captured using just a small number of characteristic modes. This leads to the striking conclusion that the transcriptional response of a genome is orchestrated in a few fundamental patterns of gene expression change. These patterns are both simple and robust, dominating the alterations in expression of genes throughout the genome. Moreover, the characteristic modes of gene expression change in response to environmental perturbations are similar in such distant organisms as yeast and human cells. This analysis reveals simple regularities in the seemingly complex transcriptional transitions of diverse cells to new states, and these provide insights into the operation of the underlying genetic networks.

### ***Identification of gene expression patterns using planned linear contrasts***

Hao Li, Constance L Wood, Yushu Liu, Thomas V Getchell, Marilyn L Getchell, and Arnold J Stromberg

**Background:** In gene networks, the timing of significant changes in the expression level of each gene may be the most critical information in time course expression profiles. With the same timing of the initial change, genes which share similar patterns of expression for any number of sampling intervals from the beginning should be considered co-expressed at certain level(s) in the gene networks. In addition, multiple testing problems are complicated in experiments with multi-level treatments when thousands of genes are involved.

**Results:** To address these issues, we first performed an ANOVA F test to identify significantly regulated genes. The Benjamini and Hochberg (BH) procedure of controlling false discovery rate (FDR) at 5% was applied to the P values of the F test. We then categorized the genes with a significant F test into 4 classes based on the timing of their initial responses by sequentially testing a complete set of orthogonal contrasts, the reverse Helmert series. For genes within each class, specific sequences of contrasts were performed to characterize their general 'fluctuation' shapes of expression along the subsequent sampling time points. To be consistent with the BH procedure, each contrast was examined using a stepwise Studentized Maximum Modulus test to control the gene based maximum family-wise error rate (MFWER) at the level of a new determined by the BH procedure. We demonstrated our method on the analysis of microarray data from murine olfactory sensory epithelia at five different time points after target ablation.

**Conclusion:** In this manuscript, we used planned linear contrasts to analyze time-course microarray experiments. This analysis allowed us to characterize gene expression patterns based on the temporal order in the data, the timing of a gene's initial response, and the general shapes of gene expression patterns along the subsequent sampling time points. Our method is particularly suitable for analysis of microarray experiments in which it is often difficult to take sufficiently frequent measurements and/or the sampling intervals are non-uniform.

### ***In search of functional association from time-series microarray data based on the change trend and level of gene expression***

Feng He and An-Ping Zeng

**Background:** The increasing availability of time-series expression data opens up new possibilities to study functional linkages of genes. Present methods used to infer functional linkages between genes from expression data are mainly based on a point-to-point comparison. Change trends between consecutive time points in time-series data have been so far not well explored.

**Results:** In this work we present a new method based on extracting main features of the change trend and level of gene expression between consecutive time points. The method, termed as trend correlation (TC), includes two major steps: 1, calculating a maximal local alignment of change trend score by dynamic programming and a change trend correlation coefficient between the maximal matched change levels of each gene pair; 2, inferring

relationships of gene pairs based on two statistical extraction procedures. The new method considers time shifts and inverted relationships in a similar way as the local clustering (LC) method but the latter is merely based on a point-to-point comparison. The TC method is demonstrated with data from yeast cell cycle and compared with the LC method and the widely used Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC) based clustering method. The biological significance of the gene pairs is examined with several large-scale yeast databases. Although the TC method predicts an overall lower number of gene pairs than the other two methods at a same p-value threshold, the additional number of gene pairs inferred by the TC method is considerable: e.g. 20.5% compared with the LC method and 49.6% with the PCC method for a p-value threshold of  $2.7E-3$ . Moreover, the percentage of the inferred gene pairs consistent with databases by our method is generally higher than the LC method and similar to the PCC method. A significant number of the gene pairs only inferred by the TC method are process-identity or functionsimilarity pairs or have well-documented biological interactions, including 443 known protein interactions and some known cell cycle related regulatory interactions. It should be emphasized that the overlapping of gene pairs detected by the three methods is normally not very high, indicating a necessity of combining the different methods in search of functional association of genes from time-series data. For a p-value threshold of  $1E-5$  the percentage of process-identity and function-similarity gene pairs among the shared part of the three methods reaches 60.2% and 55.6% respectively, building a good basis for further experimental and functional study. Furthermore, the combined use of methods is important to infer more complete regulatory circuits and network as exemplified in this study.

**Conclusion:** The TC method can significantly augment the current major methods to infer functional linkages and biological network and is well suitable for exploring temporal relationships of gene expression in time-series data.

### ***Inferring dynamic architecture of cellular networks using time series of gene expression, protein and metabolite data***

Eduardo Sontag, Anatoly Kiyatkin and Boris N. Kholodenko

**Motivation:** High-throughput technologies have facilitated the acquisition of large genomics and proteomics datasets. However, these data provide snapshots of cellular behavior, rather than help us reveal causal relations. Here, we propose how these technologies can be utilized to infer the topology and strengths of connections among genes, proteins and metabolites by monitoring time-dependent responses of cellular networks to experimental interventions.

**Results:** We demonstrate that all connections leading to a given network node, e.g. to a particular gene, can be deduced from responses to perturbations none of which directly influences that node, e.g. using strains with knock-outs to other genes. To infer all interactions from stationary data, each node should be perturbed separately or in combination with other nodes. Monitoring time series provides richer information and does not require perturbations to all nodes. Overall, the methods we propose are capable of deducing and quantifying functional interactions within and across cellular gene, signaling and metabolic networks.

### ***Permutation test for periodicity in short time series data***

Andrey A Ptitsyn, Sanjin Zvonic and Jeffrey M Gimble

**Background:** Periodic processes, such as the circadian rhythm, are important factors modulating and coordinating transcription of genes governing key metabolic pathways. Theoretically, even small fluctuations in the orchestration of circadian gene expression patterns among different tissues may result in functional asynchrony at the organism level and may contribute to a wide range of pathologic disorders. Identification of circadian expression pattern in time series data is important, but equally challenging. Microarray technology allows estimation of relative expression of thousands of genes at each time point. However, this estimation often lacks precision and microarray experiments are prohibitively expensive, limiting the number of data points in a time series expression profile. The data produced in these experiments carries a high degree of stochastic variation, obscuring the periodic pattern and a limited number of replicates, typically covering not more than two complete periods of oscillation.

**Results:** To address this issue, we have developed a simple, but effective, computational technique for the identification of a periodic pattern in relatively short time series, typical for microarray studies of circadian expression. This test is based on a random permutation of time points in order to estimate non-randomness of a periodogram. The Permutated time, or Pt-test, is able to detect oscillations within a given period in expression profiles dominated by a high degree of stochastic fluctuations or oscillations of different irrelevant frequencies. We have conducted a comprehensive study of circadian expression on a large data set produced at PBRC,

representing three different peripheral murine tissues. We have also re-analyzed a number of similar time series data sets produced and published independently by other research groups over the past few years.

**Conclusion:** The Permuted time test (Pt-test) is demonstrated to be effective for detection of periodicity in short time series typical for high-density microarray experiments. The software is a set of C++ programs available from the authors on the open source basis.

### ***Robust regression for periodicity detection in non-uniformly sampled time-course gene expression data***

Miika Ahdesmäki, Harri Lähdesmäki, Andrew Gracey, Ilya Shmulevich and Olli Yli-Harja

**Background:** In practice many biological time series measurements, including gene microarrays, are conducted at time points that seem to be interesting in the biologist's opinion and not necessarily at fixed time intervals. In many circumstances we are interested in finding targets that are expressed periodically. To tackle the problems of uneven sampling and unknown type of noise in periodicity detection, we propose to use robust regression.

**Methods:** The aim of this paper is to develop a general framework for robust periodicity detection and review and rank different approaches by means of simulations. We also show the results for some real measurement data.

**Results:** The simulation results clearly show that when the sampling of time series gets more and more uneven, the methods that assume even sampling become unusable. We find that M-estimation provides a good compromise between robustness and computational efficiency.

**Conclusion:** Since uneven sampling occurs often in biological measurements, the robust methods developed in this paper are expected to have many uses. The regression based formulation of the periodicity detection problem easily adapts to non-uniform sampling. Using robust regression helps to reject inconsistently behaving data points.

### ***Statistical tests for identifying differentially expressed genes in time-course microarray experiments***

Taesung Park, Sung-Gon Yi, Seungmook Lee, Seung Yeoun Lee, Dong-Hyun Yoo, Jun-Ik Ahn and Yong-Sung Lee

**Motivation:** Microarray technology allows the monitoring of expression levels for thousands of genes simultaneously. In time-course experiments in which gene expression is monitored over time, we are interested in testing gene expression profiles for different experimental groups. However, no sophisticated analytic methods have yet been proposed to handle time-course experiment data.

**Results:** We propose a statistical test procedure based on the ANOVA model to identify genes that have different gene expression profiles among experimental groups in time-course experiments. Especially, we propose a permutation test which does not require the normality assumption. For this test, we use residuals from the ANOVA model only with time-effects. Using this test, we detect genes that have different gene expression profiles among experimental groups. The proposed model is illustrated using cDNA microarrays of 3840 genes obtained in an experiment to search for changes in gene expression profiles during neuronal differentiation of cortical stem cells.

## **Two-color microarray**

### ***A calibration method for estimating absolute expression levels from microarray data***

Kristof Engelen, Bart Naudts, Bart De Moor and Kathleen Marchal

**Motivation:** We describe an approach to normalize spotted microarray data, based on a physically motivated calibration model. This model consists of two major components, describing the hybridization of target transcripts to their corresponding probes on the one hand, and the measurement of fluorescence from the hybridized, labeled target on the other hand. The model parameters and error distributions are estimated from external control spikes.

**Results:** Using a publicly available dataset, we show that our procedure is capable of adequately removing the typical non-linearities of the data, without making any assumptions on the distribution of differences in gene expression from one biological sample to the next. Since our model links target concentration to measured



intensity, we show how absolute expression values of target transcripts in the hybridization solution can be estimated up to a certain degree.

### ***An analysis of the use of genomic DNA as a universal reference in two channel DNA microarrays***

Mugdha Gadgil, Wei Lian, Chetan Gadgil, Vivek Kapur and Wei-Shou Hu

**Background:** DNA microarray is an invaluable tool for gene expression explorations. In the two dye microarray, fluorescence intensities of two samples, each labeled with a different dye, are compared after hybridization. To compare a large number of samples, the 'reference design' is widely used, in which all RNA samples are hybridized to a common reference. Genomic DNA is an attractive candidate for use as a universal reference, especially for bacterial systems with a low percentage of non-coding sequences. However, genomic DNA, comprising of both the sense and anti-sense strands, is unlike the single stranded cDNA usually used in microarray hybridizations. The presence of the antisense strand in the 'reference' leads to reactions between complementary labeled strands in solution and may cause the assay result to deviate from true values.

**Results:** We have developed a mathematical model to predict the validity of using genomic DNA as a reference in the microarray assay. The model predicts that the assay can accurately estimate relative concentrations for a wide range of initial cDNA concentrations. Experimental results of DNA microarray assay using genomic DNA as a reference correlated well to those obtained by a direct hybridization between two cDNA samples. The model predicts that the initial concentrations of labeled genomic DNA strands and immobilized strands, and the hybridization time do not significantly affect the assay performance. At low values of the rate constant for hybridization between immobilized and mobile strands, the assay performance varies with the hybridization time and initial cDNA concentrations. For the case where a microarray with immobilized single strands is used, results from hybridizations using genomic DNA as a reference will correspond to true ratios under all conditions.

**Conclusion:** Simulation using the mathematical model, and the experimental study presented here show the potential utility of microarray assays using genomic DNA as a reference. We conclude that the use of genomic DNA as reference DNA should greatly facilitate comparative transcriptome analysis.

### ***An experimental evaluation of a loop versus a reference design for two-channel microarrays***

V. Vinciotti, R. Khanin, D. D'Alimonte, X. Liu, N. Cattini, G. Hotchkiss, G. Bucca, O. de Jesus, J. Rasaiyaah, C. P. Smith, P. Kellam and E. Wit

**Motivation:** Despite theoretical arguments that so-called 'loop designs' for two-channel DNA microarray experiments are more efficient, biologists continue to use 'reference designs'. We describe two sets of microarray experiments with RNA from two different biological systems (TPA-stimulated mammalian cells and *Streptomyces coelicolor*). In each case, both a loop and a reference design were used with the same RNA preparations with the aim of studying their relative efficiency.

**Results:** The results of these experiments show that (1) the loop design attains a much higher precision than the reference design, (2) multiplicative spot effects are a large source of variability, and if they are not accounted for in the mathematical model, for example, by taking log-ratios or including spot effects, then the model will perform poorly. The first result is reinforced by a simulation study. Practical recommendations are given on how simple loop designs can be extended to more realistic experimental designs and how standard statistical methods allow the experimentalist to use and interpret the results from loop designs in practice.

### ***Analysis of Variance for Gene Expression Microarray Data***

M. Kathleen Kerr, Mitchell Martin and Gary A. Churchill

Spotted cDNA microarrays are emerging as a powerful and cost-effective tool for largescale analysis of gene expression. Microarrays can be used to measure the relative quantities of specific mRNAs in two or more tissue samples for thousands of genes simultaneously. While the power of this technology has been recognized, many open questions remain about appropriate analysis of microarray data. One question is how to make valid estimates of the relative expression for genes that are not biased by ancillary sources of variation. Recognizing that there is inherent "noise" in microarray data, how does one estimate the error variation associated with an estimated change in expression, i.e., how does one construct the error bars? We demonstrate that ANOVA methods can be used to normalize microarray data and provide estimates of changes in gene expression that are corrected for potential confounding effects. This approach establishes a framework for the general analysis and interpretation of microarray data.

**Background correction for cDNA microarray images using the TV+L<sup>1</sup> model**

Wotao Yin, Terrence Chen, Xiang Sean Zhou and Amit Chakraborty

**Motivation:** Background correction is an important preprocess in cDNA microarray data analysis. A variety of methods have been used for this purpose. However, many kinds of backgrounds, especially inhomogeneous ones, cannot be estimated correctly using any of the existing methods. In this paper, we propose the use of the TV+L<sup>1</sup> model, which minimizes the total variation (TV) of the image subject to an L<sup>1</sup>-fidelity term, to correct background bias. We demonstrate its advantages over the existing methods by both analytically discussing its properties and numerically comparing it with morphological opening.

**Results:** Experimental results on both synthetic data and real microarray images demonstrate that the TV+L<sup>1</sup> model gives the restored intensity that is closer to the true data than morphological opening. As a result, this method can serve an important role in the preprocessing of cDNA microarray data.

**Calibration and assessment of channel-specific biases in microarray data with extended dynamical range**

Henrik Bengtsson, Göran Jönsson and Johan Vallon-Christersson

**Background:** Non-linearities in observed log-ratios of gene expressions, also known as intensity dependent log-ratios, can often be accounted for by global biases in the two channels being compared. Any step in a microarray process may introduce such offsets and in this article we study the biases introduced by the microarray scanner and the image analysis software.

**Results:** By scanning the same spotted oligonucleotide microarray at different photomultiplier tube (PMT) gains, we have identified a channel-specific bias present in two-channel microarray data. For the scanners analyzed it was in the range of 15–25 (out of 65,535). The observed bias was very stable between subsequent scans of the same array although the PMT gain was greatly adjusted. This indicates that the bias does not originate from a step preceding the scanner detector parts. The bias varies slightly between arrays. When comparing estimates based on data from the same array, but from different scanners, we have found that different scanners introduce different amounts of bias. So do various image analysis methods. We propose a scanning protocol and a constrained affine model that allows us to identify and estimate the bias in each channel. Backward transformation removes the bias and brings the channels to the same scale. The result is that systematic effects such as intensity dependent log-ratios are removed, but also that signal densities become much more similar. The average scan, which has a larger dynamical range and greater signal-to-noise ratio than individual scans, can then be obtained.

**Conclusions:** The study shows that microarray scanners may introduce a significant bias in each channel. Such biases have to be calibrated for, otherwise systematic effects such as intensity dependent log-ratios will be observed. The proposed scanning protocol and calibration method is simple to use and is useful for evaluating scanner biases or for obtaining calibrated measurements with extended dynamical range and better precision. The cross-platform R package *aroma*, which implements all described methods, is available for free from <http://www.maths.lth.se/bioinformatics/>.

**Characterizing dye bias in microarray experiments**

K. K. Dobbin, E. S. Kawasaki, D. W. Petersen and R. M. Simon

**Motivation:** Spot intensity serves as a proxy for gene expression in dual-label microarray experiments. Dye bias is defined as an intensity difference between samples labeled with different dyes attributable to the dyes instead of the gene expression in the samples. Dye bias that is not removed by array normalization can introduce bias into comparisons between samples of interest. But if the bias is consistent across samples for the same gene, it can be corrected by proper experimental design and analysis. If the dye bias is not consistent across samples for the same gene, but is different for different samples, then removing the bias becomes more problematic, perhaps indicating a technical limitation to the ability of fluorescent signals to accurately represent gene expression. Thus, it is important to characterize dye bias to determine: (1) whether it will be removed for all genes by array normalization, (2) whether it will not be removed by normalization but can be removed by proper experimental design and analysis and (3) whether dye bias correction is more problematic than either of these and is not easily removable.

**Results:** We analyzed two large (each >27 arrays) tissue culture experiments with extensive dye swap arrays to better characterize dye bias. Indirect, amino-allyl labeling was used in both experiments. We found that post-normalization dye bias that is consistent across samples does appear to exist for many genes, and that controlling and correcting for this type of dye bias in design and analysis is advisable. The extent of this type of dye bias

remained unchanged under a wide range of normalization methods (median-centering, various loess normalizations) and statistical analysis techniques (parametric, rank based, permutation based, etc.). We also found dye bias related to the individual samples for a much smaller subset of genes. But these sample-specific dye biases appeared to have minimal impact on estimated gene-expression differences between the cell lines.

### ***Effect of various normalization methods on Applied Biosystems expression array system data***

Catalin C Barbacioru, Yulei Wang, Roger D Canales, Yongming A Sun, David N Keys, Frances Chan, Karen A Poulter and Raymond R Samaha

**Background:** DNA microarray technology provides a powerful tool for characterizing gene expression on a genome scale. While the technology has been widely used in discovery-based medical and basic biological research, its direct application in clinical practice and regulatory decision-making has been questioned. A few key issues, including the reproducibility, reliability, compatibility and standardization of microarray analysis and results, must be critically addressed before any routine usage of microarrays in clinical laboratory and regulated areas can occur. In this study we investigate some of these issues for the Applied Biosystems Human Genome Survey Microarrays.

**Results:** We analyzed the gene expression profiles of two samples: brain and universal human reference (UHR), a mixture of RNAs from 10 cancer cell lines, using the Applied Biosystems Human Genome Survey Microarrays. Five technical replicates in three different sites were performed on the same total RNA samples according to manufacturer's standard protocols. Five different methods, quantile, median, scale, VSN and cyclic loess were used to normalize AB microarray data within each site. 1,000 genes spanning a wide dynamic range in gene expression levels were selected for real-time PCR validation. Using the TaqMan® assays data set as the reference set, the performance of the five normalization methods was evaluated focusing on the following criteria: (1) Sensitivity and reproducibility in detection of expression; (2) Fold change correlation with real-time PCR data; (3) Sensitivity and specificity in detection of differential expression; (4) Reproducibility of differentially expressed gene lists.

**Conclusion:** Our results showed a high level of concordance between these normalization methods. This is true, regardless of whether signal, detection, variation, fold change measurements and reproducibility were interrogated. Furthermore, we used TaqMan® assays as a reference, to generate TPR and FDR plots for the various normalization methods across the assay range. Little impact is observed on the TP and FP rates in detection of differentially expressed genes. Additionally, little effect was observed by the various normalization methods on the statistical approaches analyzed which indicates a certain robustness of the analysis methods currently in use in the field, particularly when used in conjunction with the Applied Biosystems Gene Expression System.

### ***Evaluation of the gene-specific dye bias in cDNA microarray experiments***

Marie-Laure Martin-Magniette, Julie Aubert, Eric Cabannes and Jean-Jacques Daudin

**Motivation:** In cDNA microarray experiments all samples are labeled with either Cy3 or Cy5. Systematic and gene-specific dye bias effects have been observed in dual-color experiments. In contrast to systematic effects which can be corrected by a normalization method, the gene-specific dye bias is not completely suppressed and may alter the conclusions about the differentially expressed genes.

**Methods:** The gene-specific dye bias is taken into account using an analysis of variance model. We propose an index, named label bias index, to measure the gene-specific dye bias. It requires at least two self-self hybridization cDNA microarrays.

**Results:** After lowess normalization we have found that the gene specific dye bias is the major source of experimental variability between replicates. The ratio (R/G) may exceed 2. As a consequence false positive genes may be found in direct comparison without dye-swap. The stability of this artifact and its consequences on gene variance and on direct or indirect comparisons are addressed.

### ***Comment on 'Evaluation of the gene-specific dye bias in cDNA microarray experiments'***

Kevin K. Dobbin, Joanna H. Shih and Richard M. Simon

We show here that the recommendations of Martin-Magniette et al. are fundamentally flawed, and that in most realistic situations performing extensive dye-swap arrays results in a poor experimental design. The key error made by these authors is that they focus on oversimplified situations in which only two RNA samples are being compared.

***Answer to the comments of K. Dobbin, J. Shih and R. Simon on the paper 'Evaluation of the gene-specific dye-bias in cDNA microarray experiments'***

M.-L. Martin-Magniette, J. Aubert, E. Cabannes and J.-J. Daudin

***Expression ratio evaluation in two-colour microarray experiments is significantly improved by correcting image misalignment***

Thomas Tang, Nicolas François, Annie Glatigny, Nicolas Agier, Marie-Hélène Mucchielli, Lawrence Aggerbeck and Hervé Delacroix

**Motivation:** Two-colour microarrays are widely used to perform transcriptome analysis. In most cases, it appears that the 'red' and 'green' images resulting from the scan of a microarray slide are slightly shifted one with respect to the other. To increase the robustness of the measurement of the fluorescent emission intensities, multiple acquisitions with the same or different PMT gains can be used. In these cases, a systematic correction of image shift is required.

**Results:** To accurately detect this shift, we first developed an approach using cross-correlation. Second, we evaluated the most appropriate interpolation method to be used to derive the registered image. Then, we quantified the effects of image shifts on spot quality, using two different quality estimators. Finally, we measured the benefits associated with a systematic image registration. In this study, we demonstrate that registering the two images prior to data extraction provides a more reliable estimate of the two colours' ratio and thus increases the accuracy of measurements of variations in gene expression.

***Extended analysis of benchmark datasets for Agilent two-color microarrays***

Kathleen F Kerr

**Background:** As part of its broad and ambitious mission, the MicroArray Quality Control (MAQC) project reported the results of experiments using External RNA Controls (ERCs) on five microarray platforms. For most platforms, several different methods of data processing were considered. However, there was no similar consideration of different methods for processing the data from the Agilent two-color platform. While this omission is understandable given the scale of the project, it can create the false impression that there is consensus about the best way to process Agilent two-color data. It is also important to consider whether ERCs are representative of all the probes on a microarray.

**Results:** A comparison of different methods of processing Agilent two-color data shows substantial differences among methods for low-intensity genes. The sensitivity and specificity for detecting differentially expressed genes varies substantially for different methods. Analysis also reveals that the ERCs in the MAQC data only span the upper half of the intensity range, and therefore cannot be representative of all genes on the microarray.

**Conclusion:** Although ERCs demonstrate good agreement between observed and expected logratios on the Agilent two-color platform, such an analysis is incomplete. Simple loess normalization outperformed data processing with Agilent's Feature Extraction software for accurate identification of differentially expressed genes. Results from studies using ERCs should not be overgeneralized when ERCs are not representative of all probes on a microarray.

***Methodological study of affine transformations of gene expression data with proposed robust non-parametric multi-dimensional normalization method***

Henrik Bengtsson and Ola Hössjer

**Background:** Low-level processing and normalization of microarray data are most important steps in microarray analysis, which have profound impact on downstream analysis. Multiple methods have been suggested to date, but it is not clear which is the best. It is therefore important to further study the different normalization methods in detail and the nature of microarray data in general.

**Results:** A methodological study of affine models for gene expression data is carried out. Focus is on two-channel comparative studies, but the findings generalize also to single- and multi-channel data. The discussion applies to spotted as well as in-situ synthesized microarray data. Existing normalization methods such as curve-fit ("lowess") normalization, parallel and perpendicular translation normalization, and quantile normalization, but also dye-swap normalization are revisited in the light of the affine model and their strengths and weaknesses are investigated in this context. As a direct result from this study, we propose a robust non-parametric multi-dimensional affine normalization method, which can be applied to any number of microarrays with any number

of channels either individually or all at once. A high-quality cDNA microarray data set with spike-in controls is used to demonstrate the power of the affine model and the proposed normalization method.

**Conclusion:** We find that an affine model can explain non-linear intensity-dependent systematic effects in observed log-ratios. Affine normalization removes such artifacts for non-differentially expressed genes and assures that symmetry between negative and positive log-ratios is obtained, which is fundamental when identifying differentially expressed genes. In addition, affine normalization makes the empirical distributions in different channels more equal, which is the purpose of quantile normalization, and may also explain why dye-swap normalization works or fails. All methods are made available in the *aroma* package, which is a platform-independent package for R.

### ***Missing channels in two-colour microarray experiments: Combining single-channel and two-channel data***

Andy G Lynch, David E Neal, John D Kelly, Glyn J Burt and Natalie P Thorne

**Background:** There are mechanisms, notably ozone degradation, that can damage a single channel of two-channel microarray experiments. Resulting analyses therefore often choose between the unacceptable inclusion of poor quality data or the unpalatable exclusion of some (possibly a lot of) good quality data along with the bad. Two such approaches would be a single channel analysis using some of the data from all of the arrays, and an analysis of all of the data, but only from unaffected arrays. In this paper we examine a 'combined' approach to the analysis of such affected experiments that uses all of the unaffected data.

**Results:** A simulation experiment shows that while a single channel analysis performs relatively well when the majority of arrays are affected, and excluding affected arrays performs relatively well when few arrays are affected (as would be expected in both cases), the combined approach outperforms both. There are benefits to actively estimating the key-parameter of the approach, but whether these compensate for the increased computational cost and complexity over just setting that parameter to take a fixed value is not clear. Inclusion of ozone-affected data results in poor performance, with a clear spatial effect in the damage being apparent.

**Conclusion:** There is no need to exclude unaffected data in order to remove those which are damaged. The combined approach discussed here is shown to out-perform more usual approaches, although it seems that if the damage is limited to very few arrays, or extends to very nearly all, then the benefits will be limited. In other circumstances though, large improvements in performance can be achieved by adopting such an approach.

### ***Reducing the variability in cDNA microarray image processing by Bayesian inference***

Neil D. Lawrence, Marta Milo, Mahesan Niranjan, Penny Rashbass and Stephan Soullier

**Motivation:** Gene expression levels are obtained from microarray experiments through the extraction of pixel intensities from a scanned image of the slide. It is widely acknowledged that variabilities can occur in expression levels extracted from the same images by different users with the same software packages. These inconsistencies arise due to differences in the refinement of the placement of the microarray 'grids'. We introduce a novel automated approach to the refinement of grid placements that is based upon the use of Bayesian inference for determining the size, shape and positioning of the microarray 'spots', capturing uncertainty that can be passed to downstream analysis.

**Results:** Our experiments demonstrate that variability between users can be significantly reduced using the approach. The automated nature of the approach also saves hours of researchers' time normally spent in refining the grid placement.

### ***Pre-processing Agilent microarray data***

Marianna Zahurak, Giovanni Parmigiani, Wayne Yu, Robert B Scharpf, David Berman, Edward Schaeffer, Shabana Shabbeer and Leslie Cope

**Background:** Pre-processing methods for two-sample long oligonucleotide arrays, specifically the Agilent technology, have not been extensively studied. The goal of this study is to quantify some of the sources of error that affect measurement of expression using Agilent arrays and to compare Agilent's Feature Extraction software with pre-processing methods that have become the standard for normalization of cDNA arrays. These include log transformation followed by loess normalization with or without background subtraction and often a between array scale normalization procedure. The larger goal is to define best study design and pre-processing practices for Agilent arrays, and we offer some suggestions.

**Results:** Simple loess normalization without background subtraction produced the lowest variability. However, without background subtraction, fold changes were biased towards zero, particularly at low intensities. ROC analysis of a spike-in experiment showed that differentially expressed genes are most reliably detected when background is not subtracted. Loess normalization and no background subtraction yielded an AUC of 99.7% compared with 88.8% for Agilent processed fold changes. All methods performed well when error was taken into account by t- or z-statistics, AUCs = 99.8%. A substantial proportion of genes showed dye effects, 43% (99%CI : 39%, 47%). However, these effects were generally small regardless of the pre-processing method.

**Conclusion:** Simple loess normalization without background subtraction resulted in low variance fold changes that more reliably ranked gene expression than the other methods. While t-statistics and other measures that take variation into account, including Agilent's z-statistic, can also be used to reliably select differentially expressed genes, fold changes are a standard measure of differential expression for exploratory work, cross platform comparison, and biological interpretation and can not be entirely replaced. Although dye effects are small for most genes, many array features are affected. Therefore, an experimental design that incorporates dye swaps or a common reference could be valuable.